REPORT

ON

WARDS, ENCUMBERED AND TRUST ESTATES

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR

FOR THE YEAR

1938-39.

SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING,
BIHAR, PATNA.
1940.

[Price Re. 1 only.]

REPORT

ON

WARDS, ENCUMBERED AND TRUST ESTATES

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR

FOR THE YEAR

1938-39.

SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING,
BIHAR, PATNA.
1940.

[The maximum limit of the number of pages of the report as fixed by Government is 20 pages.]

CONTENTS.

APPENDICES.

BOARD OF REVENUE, BIHAR.

No. 20-690—6.

FROM

RAI BAHADUR A. N. DAS, O.B.E.,
SECRETARY TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE, BIHAR,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Dated Patna, the 21st February 1940.

SIR,

Preliminary.

I AM directed to submit the following report on the administration of the Wards, Encumbered and Trust estates in Bihar for the year 1938-39.

2. Mr. N. F. Peck, C.B.E., I.C.S., was in charge of the Court of Wards from the 1st to the 28th April 1938, and again from the 22nd October 1938 to the 31st March 1939. During the interval, Mr. E. R. J. R. Cousins, C.I.E., I.C.S., held charge.

PART I.—GENERAL REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT.

Number of estates under management.

3. The total number of estates under the management of the Court of Wards at the beginning of the year was 61. Ten new estates were brought under management excluding the Maksudpur estate in Gaya which had to be released immediately after its assumption of charge by the Court of Wards. The number of estates at the close of the year therefore stood at 71. Statistics in respect of portions of nine estates in this province managed by the Court of Wards of Bengal and the United Provinces have not been furnished as usual.

Revenue and Cess due to Government.

4. During the year, a sum of Rs. 10,17,967 was realized out of a demand of Rs. 12,38,099 on account of revenue and cess including arrears. After taking into account advance payments, the balance due amounted to Rs. 2,20,071, of which Rs. 2,07,852 was from Khagra estate which had no money to pay the demand. For want of funds, Gidhaur could not pay a cess demand of Rs. 6,449 : the amount has, however, been paid after the close of the year.

Rent and cess due to superior landlords.

5. A sum of Rs. 1,46,222 was paid on account of rent and cess due to superior landlords leaving a balance of Rs. 1,12,153 : 54·3 per cent of the total demand was paid against 61·2 per cent in the previous year. In Tirhut, the amount outstanding in Bettiah was insignificant. In the Bhagalpur Division the Khagra estate failed to pay a sum of Rs. 77,503 for want of funds. The sums outstanding in other estates were small. In Chota Nagpur, rent and cess due were paid by all but twenty-one estates. A sum of Rs. 22,159 remained unpaid on account of twelve estates in Ranchi and Rs. 9,914 on account of eight estates in Palamau due to want of funds : the outstanding balances of Rs. 8,519 and Rs. 7,561 in Tamar and Tori, respectively, were very heavy.

6. The demand for rent and cess due to estates under management during the year, including arrear demand, was Rs. 99,37,609. Of this, Rs. 35,00,586 was collected. The percentage of total collection on current demand was 71·2 against 86·6 in 1937-38: the standard of 100 per cent collection on current demand was attained only in 6 estates. In Tirhut, none of the estates attained this standard. In Jogiara, the percentage fell from 117·04 in the previous year to 89·99 in the current year. The low percentage (72·25) of collection in Bettiah against 97·06 in the previous year was due to reluctance of tenants to pay rent during the pendency of rent reduction operations. In the Bhagalpur Division, out of nine estates, the Cleveland Trust estate and the Nankar Sankara estate attained the percentage of 102·8 and 119·54, respectively. In Gidhaur, the percentage, of current collection on current demand was 24·31 only. The rent reduction operations and the Kisan movement are said to be mainly responsible for short collections. In Bahadurpur, the percentage of current collection on current demand was 19·1 against 33·6 in the preceding year. The low percentage is due to recurring floods, Kisan movement, general unrest and rent reduction operations. In Shakarpura, the percentage of current collection on current demand was 56 against 61·3 in the preceding year. In Cleveland Trust Estate, out of a total demand of Rs. 617, a sum of Rs. 331 was collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 286. In Mrs. Downing's Estate, the percentage of current collection on current demand was 53·3 against 62·5 in the preceding year. In Khagra, the percentage of current collection on current demand was 26·5 against 33·65 in the preceding year. The arrear demands included certain irrecoverable and barred amounts. The percentage of current collection on current demand of Umanath Misra's Estate was 32·3. But in Nankar Sankara Estate, it rose from 43·08 to 65·51 showing a distinct improvement. In Hazaribagh, the standard of 90 per cent of current collection on current demand was not attained in any of the estates, while the standard of 100 per cent of total collections on the current demand was exceeded in 2 estates only. In Ranchi, the percentage of total collection on current demand was 73·7 against 83·5 in the previous year, and in none of the estates were the standard percentages of current and total collections on current demand attained. The low percentage is attributed to (*i*) withholding of payment by raiyats pending the result of rent reduction operations, (*ii*) assumption of charge of new estates with heavy arrears, (*iii*) migration of raiyats to labour districts, (*iv*) refusal to pay rent by certain tenure-holders for tenures proposed to be resumed, (*v*) continuance of low prices of agricultural produce, and (*vi*) continued slump in the lac market. In Palamau, the percentage of total collection on current demand was 75·1 against 87·9 in the previous year. The standard of 100 per cent of total collections on current demand was attained in one estate only and the standard of 90 per cent of current collection on current demand was not attained in any estate, owing to continued economic depression, poor lac crop and its low price, partial failure of paddy and *rabi* crops, withholding of rents by tenants due to rent reduction proceedings, high *uttakar* rents on newly reclaimed lands, and non-realization of cess from rent-free tenures in the Untari estate. In Manbhum, the percentage of total collections on the current demand was 84·2 against 113·6 in the previous year. Of the four estates, only one attained the prescribed standard. The percentage of current collection on current demand was 29·5 against 37·8 in the previous year. In Singhbhum, the percentage of total collection on current demand in Charai and Murum estate was 46·6 against 169·7 in the previous year and in Anandpur estate, it was 59·8.

Collection of rent and cess due to estates.

7. The outstanding balance due to estates on account of rent and cess at the close of the year was Rs. 63,17,585, representing 128·4 per cent of the current demand and 63·5 per cent of the total demand against 96·0 per cent and 51·7 per cent respectively in the preceding year. In Tirhut, the total balance was Rs. 20,55,692 or 70·7 per cent of the current demand. In Bettiah, the balance increased from Rs. 12,35,018 to Rs. 20,54,607, the latter representing

Balance of rent and cess due to estates.

70·8 per cent of the current demand: of this a sum of Rs. 2,11,000 was realised after the close of the year, Rs. 48,151 was reported to be bad and irrecoverable, recovery of Rs. 12,691 was doubtful, Rs. 3,234, Rs. 6,564 and Rs. 69,544 were covered by suits, decrees and certificates respectively and the remainder was in the process of recovery. In the Bhagalpur Division, the total balance was Rs. 28,44,126, representing 251·6 per cent of the current demand. The balances increased in all estates except the Nankar Sankara where the balance stood at Rs. 82,825 against Rs. 91,592 in the preceding year. In Chota Nagpur, the arrears were more than 25 per cent of the current demand in all estates. The total balance amounting to Rs. 14,17,767, represented 160·5 per cent of the current demand. In Asko, Dhanwar, Karharbari, Kathamdiri and Gawan in Hazaribagh, the arrears were very heavy, being 149·5, 132·4, 152·2, 121·1 and 129·2, respectively. In Ranchi, the total balance amounted to Rs. 2,39,290, representing 129·6 per cent of the current demand. The percentages of balances on the current demand were very heavy in Tamar (203·8), Pandra (199·6), Burhadih (187·7), Sonahatu (171·4), Siri (159·1), Dowaru (159·6) and Gamharia (154·2). In Palamau, total balance amounted to Rs. 6,37,901, representing 256·2 per cent of the current demand, ranging from 78·1 to 351·7 in different estates. In Manbhum, the total outstanding balance was Rs. 2,60,904, representing 143·3 per cent of the current demand. In Singhbhum the outstanding balance amounted to Rs. 37,433, representing 206·9 per cent of the current demand: out of this Rs. 37,096 was in respect of the Anandpur estate.

The high percentage of arrear demands is partly due to a wrong practice followed in Chota Nagpur districts to include in the arrear of the previous financial year, the current demand of the unexpired half of the agricultural year comprising the months of April to September, roughly speaking. The Board's proposal to remedy this defect was submitted to Government in June last and has since been approved.

The District Officers of the districts in which arrears are high have been asked to take steps to reduce outstanding arrears.

8. In Bettiah, a sum of Rs. 1,138 remained due towards interest payable to *mukararridars* on their security deposits and satisfaction of decrees against the estate. The estates in the Bhagalpur division were free from debts except Khagra and Uma Nath Misra's estates. In the former, besides revenue and cess due to Government and rent and cess due to superior landlords, other debts amount to Rs. 13,47,202. A proposal to raise a loan on favourable terms is being considered. In Uma Nath Misra's estate, the debts are estimated at about Rs. 60,000. Of the encumbered estates in Hazaribagh, four were ahead of their schemes and eight behind. The deficiency is heaviest in Dhanwar (Rs. 71,507) due to unsatisfactory collections and heavy legal and social expenses. The deficiency of Rs. 48,808 in Dhargulli is expected to be paid off from a large increase in the rent roll owing to resumption of *khorposh* villages and settlement of *gairmazrua* holdings. The deficiency in other estates was mainly due to short collection. Gawan reduced its debts from Rs. 6,31,521 to Rs. 6,06,237. In Ranchi, out of 24 estates, 8 are new and the remaining 16 were behind their debt schemes, due generally to short collections. In Palamau, Sonepura paid Rs. 16,383, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,45,966. In Bisrampur, Rs. 4,721 was paid, leaving Rs. 2,16,945 outstanding. Untari could not pay anything towards liquidation of debts for want of funds, and the balance swelled to Rs. 3,09,339. Tori paid Rs. 2,350 only, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,34,390. Nagar Pratappur paid Rs. 1,500, leaving Rs. 98,787 outstanding. Gauryaban and Naudiha paid Rs. 379 and Rs. 422, leaving balances of Rs. 50,695 and Rs. 55,730 respectively. Nothing was paid in the other estates in Palamau. In Manbhum, the total debts paid during the year amounted to Rs. 4,251. Katras paid Rs. 3,634 towards its ordinary debts, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,83,038. The debts to the Nawagarh Coal Company amounted to Rs. 10,64,911 at the beginning of the year, and a sum of Rs. 72,076 accrued on account of interest, making a total of Rs. 11,36,987: the question of

Debts due by estates.

seeds, maize, manure and implements and entertained a whole-time *kamdar*. Eight cane-growers societies have been formed in this estate and the tenants easily disposed of their crop. The demonstration farm at Manjhiara in the Nankar Sankara estate is running at a loss and the result of the experiments tried during the year was not satisfactory. No work of improvement was undertaken by any other estate in the Bhagalpur Division. In Baghmundi and Barabhum estates in Manbhum, some of the tenants tried cultivation of gram and wheat but it did not prove successful. No experiment was undertaken by any other estate in Chota Nagpur.

Survey and record-of-rights.

15. No estate was under survey and settlement operations. In Bettiah, the record-of-rights are being kept up to date as far as practicable. In Bahadurpur and Shakarpura estates, the record-of-rights have become out of date. In Mrs. Downing's estate, *jamabandis* are under revision. The Umanath Misra's estate has got no survey khatians, and it is intended to complete them. The demarcation work of the Nankar Sankara estate has been completed. In Palamau, the record-of-rights are being completed gradually. In Manbhum, continuous khatians are maintained in the estates in which corrections are noted.

Mutations.

16. The percentage of mutations on the total number of tenancies in the estates in the province was 3·4 against 2·9 in the previous year. Only three estates attained the standard of 8 per cent. In the Tirhut and Bhagalpur divisions, the percentage was 6·2 and 1·4, respectively. In Bettiah, the percentage was 4·6 in *thica* villages and 6·8 in *kham* villages against 3·9 and 5·5, respectively in the preceding year. The slight increase is due to the facilities given to the tenants under the Bihar Tenancy Amendment Act. In Gidhaur, there has been no mutation, while in Khagra the percentage fell from 2·2 in the preceding year to ·9 during the year for which no satisfactory explanation has been given. In Hazaribagh, only one estate attained the prescribed standard, and the percentage for the district as a whole was 2·1 against 1·1 in the preceding year. In Ranchi, two estates attained the standard, the percentage for the district being 2 against 1·7 in the previous year. In Palamau and Manbhum none of the estates attained the standard, and the percentages were ·07 and 5·1, respectively, against ·5 and 6·4 respectively in the previous year. In Singhbhum, there was no mutation.

The attention of the managing Collectors is being drawn to the low percentage.

Inspection of rent-rolls.

17. The results of inspection are shown in Appendix IX. The percentage of inspections on the total number of tenants by tahsildars and other subordinate agency was 64·4, and by managers and other superior agency 39·5 against 50·5 and 34·6, respectively in the previous year. The former detected 3,619 and the latter 2,450 unreported cases against 5,165 and 2,763, respectively in the previous year. Inspections were generally adequate.

Unauthorised cultivation of unsettled lands.

18. In Bettiah, unauthorised cultivation of unsettled including *gairmazrua* lands was checked by Circle Officers and *Thikadars*. Offending tenants were persuaded to take settlement on reasonable terms. In Bahadurpur, the encroachments detected have all been removed. There were no cases of unauthorised cultivation in Shakerpura, Gidhaur and Mrs. Downing's Estates. In Khagra, 177 settlements were made and attempts are being made to settle all unsettled lands. In Umanath Misra's estate, 50 cases of encroachments were detected and negotiations for amicable settlements are going on. In Nankar Sankara estate, 42 cases were detected. In the encumbered estates in Hazaribagh rents were assessed on 541 *gairmazrua* holdings, giving an annual increase of Rs. 1,724 in the rent roll. In Gawan, settlement of *gairmazrua* lands and purchased holdings yielded an increase of Rs. 482 in the rent roll. In Palamau, plots under *uttakar* cultivation were assessed to rent in 20 villages. In Manbhum, 1,050 cases of unauthorised cultivation were detected.

Operations of certificate procedure.

19. The number of certificates filed during the year fell by 12 per cent, viz., from 10,736 to 9,611. Certificates for disposal totalled 14,497, covering Rs. 7,09,655 : 8,146 were disposed of, realising Rs. 3,51,537. Apart from amounts remitted or covered by certificates which were struck off, the unrealised balance at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 3,57,680 against Rs. 3,18,136 in the previous year. The percentage of the amount realised during the year on the total demand covered by certificates was 33·7 in Tirhut, 45·3 in Bhagalpur and 49·9 in Chota Nagpur. There was a drop in Tirhut and Chota Nagpur and slight improvement in Bhagalpur.

Civil suits.

20. Statistics relating to civil suits for the recovery of rent and cess are given in Appendix V. The total number of such suits rose from 247 in the preceding year to 1,548 in the year under report, Gidhaur alone being responsible for 1,275. Of the total number of suits (1,936) for disposal, 1,639 cases were disposed of, leaving a balance of 297 cases, of which 54 were pending over six months. Of the total amount decreed, Rs. 6,353 was realised and Rs. 1,21,889 remained outstanding at the close of the year. In Bettiah, recoveries amounted to Rs. 85 only out of Rs. 3,277 decreed. Gidhaur recovered Rs. 1,600 only out of Rs. 86,591. Khagra could recover Rs. 18 only out of Rs. 23,281 and Umanath Misra's estate, Rs. 1,540 out of Rs. 6,905. In Hazaribagh, Rs. 104 was recovered out of Rs. 1,085. In Ranchi, Rs. 3,212 was recovered out of Rs. 4,216 while in Palamau, Rs. 138 was recovered out of Rs. 3,586. In Manbhum, Rs. 87 was realised out of Rs. 324. The Anandpur Estate in Singhbhum realised Rs. 606 out of Rs. 14,505.

Tours of managers.

21. The tours of the managers of Bettiah, Khagra, Mrs. Downing's and Anandpur estates were inadequate. The Acting Manager of Bettiah, who was also Circle Officer of Bettiah, could not do sufficient touring as he was tied to his headquarters because of multifarious work requiring his presence there in his abnormal position during a long period. In other estates the tours were generally adequate and satisfactory.

Education of wards.

22. No expenditure was incurred in Saran during the year on the education of the grandsons of Babu Devendra Prasad Jain. Babu Laliteshwar Prasad Singh, son of Rai Bahadur Udit Narain Singh of the Bahadurpur estate, passed the third year examination of the Hindu University at Benares. The minor Maharaja Bahadur of Gidhaur is not yet of school age. The youngest Khagra estate ward is reading in Madhupur Railway school. Two minor daughters of Babu Uma Nath Misra are getting primary education. One of the Salgawan proprietor's sons is reading in the Hazaribagh zila school, after passing the Middle English Examination. The proprietor of Dadikalan is receiving zamindari training. The education of the Gawan wards was looked after by their guardian. In July 1938 they were brought back from Raipur and admitted into the local zila school under Government order. In Ranchi, two wards of Toto, one of Jario, and three of Masmano were reading in Balkrishna High English School. A son of a proprietor of Guru appeared at the supplementary Intermediate Arts examination. One son of the Dowaru proprietor, three sons of Sonahatu proprietor, one son of Burhadih proprietor and one son of Barrang proprietor were reading in village schools. Two wards of Siri and three of Tupudana were reading satisfactorily in schools in Ranchi. In Palamau, the Chainpur and Sonepura wards discontinued their studies in the Rajkumar College. The Untari proprietor's first son is still in that College while his second son is in Namkum Bishop Westcott Boys' school. The two sons of the late proprietor of Bisrampur and the eldest son of the Tori proprietor were in the Ranchi zila school. The Naudiha ward continued in the Girivar High English School at Daltonganj. The two sons of the Barahi proprietor are reading in the Intermediate class of the Ranchi zila school. In Manbhum, the minor proprietor of Katras, who was reading in Col. Brown's Cambridge School at

Dehra Dun, could not pass the final examination : his younger brother joined the Benares Hindu University. The second son of Baghmundi proprietor discontinued his studies.

Mineral Receipts. Appendices VI and VII.

23. Particulars of mining concessions in private estates under management are given in appendices VI and VII. There are no mines in any wards estate in the Bhagalpur division except the Gidhaur estate which possesses a vast area containing deposits of mica, limestone and other minerals. The mica mining leases granted by the late Maharaja Bahadur yielded Rs. 4,360-12-0 during the year under report. Steps have been taken for the settlement of other minerals. In Hazaribagh, two mica mining leases were in force in the Gawan Wards Estate from which Rs. 72,425 was collected out of Rs. 73,726 due. The balance (Rs. 1,301) which is reported to be due from Messrs. Chrestian and Company, will be adjusted or remitted. In the Manjhladih encumbered estate the entire demand of Rs. 5,362 was collected in full, but in the Dhanwar encumbered estate Rs. 400 was due from Messrs. Indian Mica Supply Company, Limited, of which Rs. 200 only was realised within the year. In Ranchi three mining leases for gold, silver, precious stones, etc., were in force in the Tamar encumbered estate from which Rs. 2,700 was due during the year but nothing was realised. A certificate case for the arrear demand of Rs. 1,800 is pending. The civil suits filed against the Bengal Coal Company by the Chainpur estate and the suit filed by Government against it claiming rights in minerals and sub-soil in the Hutar coalfields, referred to in the last year's report, are still pending. In the Sonepura estate Rs. 18,062 was realised on account of royalty on limestone. In Manbhum one prospecting license for mica and six mining leases for coal were in force. Out of the total demand of Rs. 1,26,116, Rs. 1,24,063 was collected and Rs. 178 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,875 at the close of the year.

Laws.

24. There was no change during the year in the laws governing the Wards and Encumbered Estates.

Merit of officers.

25. The thanks of the Board are due to the Commissioners of Divisions and the Collectors for the care and attention with which the estates under the charge of the Court of Wards have been administered during the year. The work done by the Managers has been on the whole satisfactory.

General.

26. The report from the Commissioners of Tirhut and Chota Nagpur was received in time but its submission by the Commissioner of Bhagalpur was delayed by a fortnight. A complete report regarding the Belwarganj estate in Patna has not been furnished by the local officers and statistics relating to this estate have not therefore been included in the appendices.

In Champaran, heavy and continuous rains caused floods in almost all parts of the Bettiah estate damaging the monsoon crops considerably while in some parts failure of rains in the latter part of the year affected the *rabi* crops. Hail-storms damaged to some extent the standing crops in certain parts of the estate adding to the difficulties of the tenants who were given relief in the form of foodstuff, seedlings and cash. Remissions of rent were also allowed in Motihari Circle. The tenants were, however, compensated by the rise in the price of sugarcane and turmeric and on the whole their condition remained satisfactory. The tenants of the estate have been reluctant to pay their rents in expectation of reduction of rent in the rent reduction operations in progress.

The relations between the tenantry and the managing agency continued to be generally satisfactory, except for the trouble with the Tharus in Bettiah over the question of grazing and free supply of firewood and building materials, which was settled quickly.

The Maharani of Bettiah remained at Allahabad throughout the year. Her general health was on the whole very good though she had an attack of

colic pain in June 1938, and was ailing for some time from fever and dysentery in the beginning of the cold weather. She was visited by His Highness the Maharaja Bahadur of Benares, the Hon'ble Prime Minister, Lt.-Col. Dhunjibhoy, I.M.S., Superintendent of Mental Hospital, Ranchi, the Committee consisting of the Inspector-General of Civil Hospitals, Bihar and the Manager of Bettiah and others including her brother and cousin, her brother visiting her on 66 days during the year. The Maharani also visited the family of her brother and the family of her cousin. The wife of the lunatic proprietor of Nankar Sankara estate, died in April 1939.

In Bettiah, an area of 10,870 odd bighas of land continued to be reserved as pasture ground for village cattle. Preliminary notifications under the Indian Forest Act in respect of reservation of forests in certain villages of Pandra, Jario, Korambe and Nawagarh estates in Ranchi were issued during the year.

The financial condition of the Khagra estate did not improve.

PART II.—ESTATES TAKEN IN CHARGE OR RELEASED.

27. Eleven estates noted below were brought under management during the year.

ESTATES TAKEN IN CHARGE.

28. *Belwarganj, Patna.*—The proprietors of this estate belong to a much respected zamindar family of the virile Goala caste of the Patna District. They were declared on the 30th April 1938, to be disqualified proprietors on application under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, 1879, Babus Jadavendra Ballava Prasad, Nandan Ballava Prasad and Jugal Ballava Prasad being declared to be minors under clause (*b*) of the section. It is heavily indebted so much so that no scheme of management has been framed yet.

29. *Maksudpur, Gaya.*—The estate consists of 147 villages scattered over the three districts of Gaya, Saran, and Patna. It was previously under the management of the Court of Wards from August 1907 to April 1924. On the application of the proprietor, Babu Chandreshwar Prasad Narain Singh, he was declared on the 30th March 1938 to be disqualified under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, 1879. Soon after assumption of charge it was found absolutely impossible to manage this insolvent estate and it was released from management.

30. *Devendra Prasad Jain estate, Saran.*—On the application of Babu Devendra Prasad Jain and his son, Babu Pushpadant Prasad Jain of Chapra to be declared disqualified proprietors, Government sanctioned the taking over of their estate under the management of the Court of Wards. They were accordingly declared to be disqualified proprietors and their estate lying in the districts of Saran, Muzaffarpur and Champaran was brought under management. Its financial condition is not very hopeful.

31. *Gidhaur, Monghyr.*—On the death of Maharaja Bahadur Chandra Choor Singh on the 19th May 1938, his minor son (Sriji), was declared to be a minor under section 6(*b*) of the Bengal Court of Wards Act, IX (B. C.) of 1879 and the charge of his property was taken by the Court of Wards on the 26th May 1938. The estate is solvent and has an annual income of about three lakhs of rupees.

32. *Uma Nath Misra estate, Purnea.*—Babu Uma Nath Misra of Katihar in Purnea having made an application to be declared a disqualified proprietor, the Board took charge of his estate under clause (*e*) of section 6 of the Act. It has a rent roll of about Rs. 48,000 and is situated in the districts of Purnea, Darbhanga and Bhagalpur. It is heavily involved.

33. *Jamudag, Ranchi.*—It is a subordinate tenure under the Maharaja of Chota Nagpur, owned by a Munda in the Khunti subdivision and was previously under management from 1897 to 1923. On the application of its present proprietor, it was brought under the management of the Chota Nagpur

Encumbered Estates Act. The annual gross income is about Rs. 3,490. The debts have not yet been finally determined but the provisional scheme provides for their liquidation in about 25 years.

34. *Loahatu, Ranchi.*—This is also a subordinate tenure under the Maharaja of Chota Nagpur, owned by a Munda in the Khunti subdivision. It was twice under management, from 1880 to 1893 and from 1905 to 1931. The present proprietor being unfit to manage his own estate, it was protected on his application under Act VI of 1876. Its rent roll is Rs. 3,322 and as determined the debts amount to Rs. 12,816.

35. *Deotan, Hazaribagh.*—It is an ancient revenue-paying *ghatwali gadi* in the Giridih subdivision, consisting of thirteen villages and was brought under management under Act VI of 1876 on application made on behalf of the minor son of the proprietor. The annual gross income is about Rs. 3,431. The debts are under enquiry. The provisional scheme provides for their liquidation in about 21 years.

36. *Hosir, no. II, Hazaribagh.*—This estate is a *jagir* tenure under the Ramgarh estate in the sadr subdivision. It was twice under management from 1901-02 to 1914-15 and 1932 to 1936. On the petition of the proprietor for the protection of the estate under Act VI of 1876, its charge was taken over at the end of the year. Its annual gross income is estimated at Rs. 3,942 and the liabilities at Rs. 26,326. The provisional scheme provides for the liquidation of debts in about 22 years.

37. *Kanchanpur, Palamau.*—This is a *khorposh* tenure under the Ranka estate in Palamau. On the application of its proprietors, it was protected under Act VI of 1876. The annual gross income is estimated at about Rs. 8,062. Its debts have not yet been determined but the provisional scheme provides for their liquidation in about 17 years.

38. *Haidarnagar, Palamau.*—This estate was brought under the management of the Chota Nagpur Encumbered Estates Act on the application of its proprietor, Babu Sheo Narain Prasad Jaiswal. The annual demand of the estate is estimated at about Rs. 6,674. The total liability is estimated at about Rs. 22,529 and the provisional scheme provides for the liquidation of the debts in about 21 years.

I have the honour to be

SIR,

Your most obedient servant

A. N. DAS,

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

APPENDICES.

APPENDIX I.

Income of Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1938-39.

Division.	District.	Name and class of estate.		Rent and Cess due to the estate. Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Collections.	Percentage of collection on current demand.	Remissions.	Balances.	Other Receipts. Cash balance of last year.	Debts recovered.	Miscellaneous.	Suspense accounts.	Total receipts.	Remarks.
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Patna ...	Patna ...	Belwarganj ...	W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Tirhut ...	Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ...	T.	4,118	4,791	8,706	89·99	...	1,085	1,673	144	136	—395	5,264	
		Total ...		4,118	4,791	3,706	89·99	...	1,085	1,673	144	136	—395	5,264	
	Saran ...	Deberdra Prasad Jain	W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ...	W.	28,99,928	41,92,548	20,95,247	72·25	37,781	20,54,607	7,10,175	8,346	4,31,048	4,27,442	36,72,258	
		Total ...		28,99,928	41,92,548	20,95,247	72·25	37,781	20,54,607	7,10,175	8,346	4,31,048	4,27,442	36,72,258	
		Divisional Total ...		29,04,046	41,97,339	20,98,953	72·27	37,781	20,55,692	7,11,848	8,490	4,31,184	4,27,047	36,77,522	
Bhagalpur ...	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ...	W.	3,27,716	11,82,396	1,47,528	45·01	3,084	10,33,036	14,500	800	15,781	17,640	1,96,249	
		Bahadurpur ...	W.	1,91,858	3,91,012	90,662	47·3	31,074	2,69,128	75,330	1,612	8,344	13,188	1,39,136	
		Shakerpura ...	W.	4,557	6,166	3,374	74·	514	2,276	1,503	70	78	19	5,044	
		Total ...		5,24,131	15,79,574	2,41,564	46·1	34,672	13,04,440	91,333	2,482	24,203	30,847	3,90,429	
	Bhagalpur	Cleveland ...	T.	322	617	331	102·8	...	286	393	...	151	...	849	
		Total ...		322	617	331	102·8	...	286	393	...	151	...	849	
	Purnea ...	Khagra ...	W.	4,39,568	16,23,214	3,41,129	77·6	3,311	12,78,774	7,761	14,701	9,612	56,592	4,29,795	
		Mrs. Downing's	W.	73,570	1,15,362	59,298	80·6	...	56,064	13,406	224	1,509	1,219	75,656	
		Umanath Misra	W.	47,668	1,54,294	33,257	69·6	...	1,21,037	497	460	9,356	361	43,931	
		Mirza Muhammad Husain's	T.	1,310	1,975	1,275	97·32	...	700	1,307	...	332	...	2,914	
		Total ...		5,62,116	18,94,845	4,34,959	77·42	3,311	14,56,575	22,971	15,385	20,809	58,172	5,52,296	
	Santal Parganas.	Nankar Sankara	W.	43,996	1,37,804	52,594	119·54	2,385	82,825	2,720	1,397	1,048	2,022	59,781	
		Total ...		43,996	1,37,804	52,594	119·54	2,385	82,825	2,720	1,397	1,048	2,022	59,781	
		Divisional Total ...		11,30,565	36,12,840	7,29,422	64·5	40,368	28,44,126	1,17,417	19,264	46,211	91,041	10,03,355	
Chota Nagpur	Hazaribagh	Salgawan ...	E.	2,722	3,980	2,380	87·4	104	1,618	7	11	159	438	2,995	
		Manai ...	E.	3,505	4,401	3,386	96·6	70	1,390	66	9	148	564	4,173	
		Asko ...	E.	3,244	6,926	2,326	71·7	...	4,852	127	55	133	1,414	4,055	
		Dhargulli ...	E.	23,616	34,751	24,451	103·5	774	9,310	637	821	2,050	4,475	32,434	
		Maraphari ...	E.	5,699	9,014	3,363	59·0	471	4,234	24	39	286	915	4,627	
		Dhanwar ...	E.	80,544	1,51,027	46,529	57·7	597	1,06,713	6,613	395	12,692	14,254	80,483	
		Amli ...	E.	2,807	3,037	2,139	76·2	36	893	12	20	30	625	2,726	
		Manjhladih ...	E.	5,894	10,803	8,708	147·7	...	2,311	8	6	73	972	9,767	
		Sikri ...	E.	45,414	64,159	34,755	76·5	2,243	28,403	4,228	230	2,748	8,379	50,340	
		Dadikalan ...	E.	23,679	37,284	19,114	80·7	1,648	15,928	3,611	74	1,525	5,051	29,375	
		Karharbari (6 annas)	E.	4,717	11,192	2,740	58·0	1,347	7,183	72	38	45	853	3,748	
		Kathamdiri ...	E.	15,150	31,400	11,867	74·3	1,732	18,360	643	352	370	7,683	20,315	
		Deotan ...	E.	1,405	1,405	391	27·8	...	1,009	...	...	5	105	501	
		Hosir no. II. ...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Gawan ...	W.	30,965	63,931	23,605	76·2	444	40,036	3,700	161	82,060	3,469	1,12,995	
		Total ...		2,49,361	4,33,310	1,85,154	74·2	9,466	2,42,239	19,748	2,211	1,02,324	49,097	3,58,534	

W. for Wards Estates,
T for Trust Estates.
E for Encumbered Estates;

APPENDIX I—*concld.*

Income of Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1938-39—concld.

Division.	District.	Name and class of estate.		Rent and cess due to the estate. Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Collections.	Percentage of collection on current demand.	Remissions.	Balances.	Other Receipts. Cash balance of last year.	Debts recovered.	Miscellaneous.	Suspense accounts.	Total receipts.	Remarks.
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Chota Nagpur—*concld.*	Ranchi ...	Tupudana ...	E.	4,242	8,410	2,216	52·2	...	5,946	87	61	173	730	3,267	
		Tamar ...	E.	25,013	64,662	14,127	56·5	...	50,967	49	318	3,005	4,029	21,528	
		Guru ...	E	1,965	3,294	1,267	64·4	...	1,634	335	17	45	978	2,642	
		Biru ...	E.	29,667	53,811	28,637	96·5	6	24.838	1,358	288	1,549	7,888	39,720	
		Bhargaon ...	E.	2,072	4,149	1,940	93·6	...	2,252	354	167	197	391	3,049	
		Narckela ...	E.	1,769	3,364	1,248	70·5	88	2,116	190	20	68	277	1,803	
		Toto ½ (R. N. T.)	E.	6,966	11,359	6,327	90·8	...	5,563	478	143	246	1,223	8,417	
		Korambe ...	E.	17,606	34,166	13,066	74·2	502	21,339	1,031	256	1,160	2,604	18,117	
		Lachragarh ...	E.	9,960	18,865	8,620	86·5	4	10,288	391	156	728	1,463	11,358	
		Nawagarh ...	E.	15,227	24,802	12,209	80·2	330	13,420	983	117	865	1,835	16,009	
		Masmano ...	E.	9.026	19,873	7,000	77·6	28	13,689	19	105	2,309	3,177	12,610	
		Dowaru ...	E.	3,605	7,997	2,229	61·8	15	5,753	95	14	277	978	3,593	
		Jario ...	E.	4,293	10,286	3,239	75·4	120	7,125	51	97	334	3,390	7,111	
		Jarakel ...	E.	8,362	18,398	7,322	87·6	509	10,909	365	344	444	1,247	9,722	
		Pandra ...	E.	2,985	7,318	1,315	44·1	...	5,959	137	41	123	666	2,282	
		Barrang ...	E.	5,156	11,109	3,715	72·1	36	7,450	31	388	259	2,787	7,180	
		Gamharia ...	E.	2,819	6,156	1,838	65·2	17	4,348	16	108	186	1,397	3,545	
		Jonha ...	E.	2,448	5,288	1,665	68·0	...	3,656	101	99	530	1,072	3,467	
		Burhadih ...	E.	5,147	12,206	2,596	50·4	19	9,662	66	40	225	1,361	4,288	
		Sonahatu ...	E.	6,128	14,564	4,080	66·6	...	10,503	4	34	545	892	5,555	
		Taisar ...	E.	7,112	8,333	5,043	70·9	...	3,210	...	...	84	1,349	6,476	
		Siri ...	E.	8,158	19,343	6,184	75·8	...	12,981	...	187	621	1,697	8,689	
		Jamudag ...	E	2.301	2,598	73	3·2	...	2,526	...	...	251	277	601	
		Loahatu ...	E.	2 578	3.148	2	0·1	...	3,146	...	...	...	212	214	
		Total	...	1,84,605	3,73,499	1,35,958	73·7	1,674	2,39,290	6,141	3,000	14,224	41,920	2,01,243	
	Palamau ...	Chainpur ...	W.	35,717	1,10,039	19,351	54·1	200	91,973	6,787	14,838	7,867	8,761	57,604	
		Bisrampur ...	E.	26,289	82,531	21,254	80·8	..	61,150	244	444	5,101	7,420	34,463	
		Sonepura ...	W.	49,357	1,59,720	54,439	110·2	716	1,07,344	12,293	1,011	22,222	13,359	1,03,324	
		Untari ...	E.	75,099	3,18.608	49,671	66·1	8,294	2,64,164	499	359	15,471	17,450	83,540	
		Gouriaban (Khapro)	E.	4,450	17,643	2,252	50·6	...	15,509	2,312	7	212	1,321	6,104	
		Tori ...	E.	31,387	88,145	24,930	79·4	8,467	54,871	707	134	3,568	5,242	34,581	
		Pokhraha ...	E.	1,020	2,947	455	44·6	...	2,327	840	8	105	647	2,055	
		Geruasoti ...	E.	3,412	12,473	2,187	64·0	...	10,378	25	...	310	497	3,019	
		Barahi ...	E.	2,492	4,097	2,077	83·3	9	2,182	60	...	529	904	3,560	
		Nagar-Pratappur	E.	8,804	19,096	5,738	64·5	...	12,728	1,378	23	681	1,640	9,460	
		Nouciha ...	E.	3,286	4,591	1,809	55·0	...	2,569	1,277	1	243	593	3,863	
		Kanchanpur ...	E.	3,615	8,246	1,828	50·5	...	6,414	...	2	498	541	2,869	
		Haider Nagar ...	E.	3,941	7,408	1,111	28·1	...	6,292	...	8	191	125	1,435	
		Total	...	2,48,959	8,35,544	1,87,102	75·1	17,686	6,37,901	26,412	16,835	56,998	58,440	3,45,787	
	Manbhum ...	Barabhum ...	W.	73,078	1,85,767	74,620	102·1	2,252	1,08,580	13,962	4,926	2,984	22,391	1,18,783	
		Katras ...	E.	47,022	91,859	37,099	78·8	3,459	56,175	63	537	18,259	18,243	74,201	
		Tundi ...	E.	35,382	85,012	19,867	56·1	14,765	52,973	235	425	1,189	9,191	30,907	
		Baghmundi ...	E.	26,505	72,668	21,673	81·7	7,524	43,176	573	353	818	7,281	30,701	
		Total	...	1,81,987	4,35,306	1,53,259	84·2	28,000	2,60,904	14,833	6,241	23,150	57,109	2,54,592	
	Singhbhum...	Charai and Murum	E.	542	590	253	46·6	...	387	188	...	...	...	441	
		Anandpur ...	W.	17,548	49,181	10,485	59·8	1,600	37,096	2,755	...	3,619	...	16,859	
		Total	...	18,090	49,771	10,738	59·3	1,600	37,433	2,943	...	3,619	...	17,300	
		Divisional Total	...	8,83,002	21,27,430	6,72,211	76·1	58,426	14,17,767	70,077	28,287	2,00,315	2,06,566	11,77,456	
		GRAND TOTAL	...	49,17,613	99,37,609	35,00,586	71·2	1,36,575	63,17,585	8,99,342	56,041	6,77,710	7,24,654	58,58,333	

W. for Wards' Estates.
E. for Encumbered Estates.
T. for Trust Estates.

Maldwar ... ... W.
Balisahi (Bhunia) ... ... W.
Kasimbazar ... ... W.
Haripur Barataraf ... ... W.
Lalgola ... ... W.
Banin ... ... W.
Suksena ... ... W.
} Under management of Court of Wards, Bengal.

Kalia ... ... W.
Promode Narain ... ... W.
} Under management of Court of Wards, United Provinces.

APPENDIX II.

Disbursements of Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1938-39.

Division and District.		Estates.		Net income, *i.e.*, column 6 of Appendix I minus columns 6 and 9 of Appendix II.	Disbursement on account of— Revenue and cess due to Government. Current demand.	Revenue and cess due to Government. Current and arrear demand.	Revenue and cess due to Government. Payment.	Rents and cess due to superior landlords. Current demand.	Rents and cess due to superior landlords. Current and arrear demand.	Rents and cess due to superior landlords. Payment.	Management. Expenditure.	Management. Percentage of cost of management charges (column 10) on recurring demands (columns 4 and 12 of Appendix I).
1		2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Tirhut ...	Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ...	T.	1,742	1,964	1,964	1,964	...	...	...	665	15·0
		Total	...	1,742	1,964	1,964	1,964	...	...	...	665	15·0
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ...	W.	14,97,204	5,96,609	5,96,748	5,96,710	1,470	1,704	1,333	4,66,024	[illegible]
		Total	...	14,97,204	5,96,609	5,96,748	5,96,710	1,470	1,704	1,333	4,66,024	[illegible]
		Divisional Total	...	14,98,946	5,98,573	5,98,712	5,98,674	1,470	1,704	1,333	4,66,689	[illegible]
Bhagalpur ...	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ...	W.	1,05,023	48,513	48,513	42,032	226	481	438	25,342	[illegible]
		Bahadurpur ...	W.	55,447	29,075	29,075	28,839	6,496	6,501	6,376	17,017	[illegible]
		Shakarpura ...	W.	2,409	965	965	965	...	...	...	547	[illegible]
		Total	...	1,62,882	78,553	78,553	71,836	6,722	6,982	6,814	42,906	[illegible]
	Bhagalpur ...	Cleveland ...	T.	296	9	9	9	...	...	...	60	[illegible]
		Total	...	296	9	9	9	...	...	...	60	[illegible]
	Purnea ...	Khagra ...	W.	1,32,757	2,30,843	3,72,535	1,64,683	51,966	1,31,102	43,689	28,601	[illegible]
		Mrs. Downing's	W.	19,907	38,196	38,196	38,170	1,146	1,222	1,221	8,039	[illegible]
		Umanath ...	W.	16,836	3,863	4,213	4,213	12,976	13,288	12,208	7,550	...
		Mirza Muhammad Husain's	T.	601	656	656	656	5	18	18	...	
		Total	...	1,70,101	2,73,558	4,15,600	2,07,722	66,093	1,35,720	57,186	44,199	[illegible]
	Santal Parganas ...	Nankar Sankara	W.	46,962	5,510	5,510	5,510	122	122	122	6,658	[illegible]
		Total	...	46,962	5,510	5,510	5,510	122	122	122	6,658	[illegible]
		Divisional Total	...	3,80,241	3,57,630	4,99,672	2,85,077	72,937	1,42,824	64,072	93,853	[illegible]
Chota Nagpur.	Hazaribagh ...	1. Salgawan ...	... E.	2,001	...	...	...	370	370	370	350	[illegible]
		2. Manai ...	... E.	2,721	...	...	...	665	665	665	463	[illegible]
		3. Asko ...	... E.	1,916	410	410	410	...	...	...	429	[illegible]
		4. Dhargulli ...	... E.	20,912	...	...	...	3,539	3,539	3,539	3,121	[illegible]
		5. Maraphari ...	... E.	2,851	...	...	...	512	512	512	755	[illegible]
		6. Dhanwar ...	... E.	34,560	11,815	11,815	11,815	145	145	145	10,649	[illegible]
		7. Amli ...	... E.	1,612	...	...	...	527	527	527	370	[illegible]
		8. Manjhladih	... E.	8,353	151	151	151	204	204	204	780	[illegible]
		9. Sikri ...	... E.	29,425	...	...	...	5,330	5,330	5,330	6,153	[illegible]
		10. Dadikalan ..	... E.	15,024	...	...	...	4,090	4,090	4,090	3,208	[illegible]
		11. Karharbari-/6/-	... E.	1,980	...	...	...	751	751	751	623	[illegible]
		12. Kathamdiri	... E.	8,001	...	...	...	3,266	3,266	3,266	2,053	[illegible]
		13. Destin ...	... E.	38	367	1,027	353	...	...	...	89	[illegible]
		14. Hosir II	... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	[illegible]
		15. Gawan ...	... W.	16,056	7,356	7,356	7,356	193	193	193	11,250	[illegible]
		Total	...	1,45,409	20,099	20,759	20,085	19,601	19,601	19,601	40,302	[illegible]

Estates.	Other disbursements. Law expenses.	Maintenance and education allowances of the proprietor and family.	Schools, dispensaries and charities.	Improvements.	Miscellaneous, including Survey and Settlement cost.	Suspense accounts.	Payment of debts.	Investments.	Total disbursements.	Cash balance at the close of the year.	Debts due at the close of the year. (a) Revenue and cess due to Government.	(b) Rent and cess due to superior landlords.	(c) Other debts.	Market value of Government securities at the close of the year.
2	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Jogiara	70	...	1,200	...	121	107	...	...	4,127	1,137	...	...	...	...
Total	70	...	1,200	...	121	107	...	...	4,127	1,137	...	...	...	...
Bettiah	48,580	3,16,314	1,37,221	4,02,890	4,10,461	3,63,146	2,599	6,421	27,00,099	9,11,559	...	371	1,138	35,14,857
Total	48,580	3,16,314	1,37,221	4,02,890	4,10,461	3,63,146	2,599	6,421	27,00,099	9,11,559	...	371	1,138	35,14,857
Divisional Total	48,650	3,16,314	1,38,421	4,02,890	4,10,582	3,63,253	2,599	6,421	27,04,836	9,12,696	...	371	1,138	35,14,857
Gidhaur	10,658	45,409	3,907	2,820	21,651	20,193	12,520	...	1,90,963	5,286	6,449	43	...	...
Bahadurpur	8,018	48,533	8,954	5,073	50,438	14,006	...	...	1,84,254	4,882	236	125	...	...
Shakarpura	60	1,800	...	142	636	154	...	...	4,304	740	...	...	...	...
Total	21,736	95,735	12,861	8,035	72,725	34,353	12,520	...	3,79,521	10,908	6,685	168	...	...
Cleveland	...	...	144	...	95	...	...	...	308	541	...	...	...	...
Total	...	...	144	...	95	...	...	...	308	541	...	...	...	...
Khagra	30,429	15,492	6,385	...	40,023	37,090	1,800	48,274	4,25,486	4,329	2,07,852	77,508	13,47,202	...
Mrs. Downing's	1,147	13,500	554	137	8,637	2,430	...	...	73,825	1,831	23	...	...	...
Umanath	502	5,482	1,150	...	5,968	2,600	...	...	30,682	3,849	...	1,987	60,000	...
Mirza Muhammad Husain's	...	...	648	...	167	..	...	...	1,489	1,425	...	...	...	...
Total	32,078	34,474	8,737	137	63,785	42,120	1,800	48,274	5,40,863	11,434	2,07,875	79,490	...	...
Nankar Sankara	2,218	15,923	851	620	6,668	4,422	...	...	43,022	16,759	...	...	...	535
Total	2,218	15,923	851	620	6,668	4,422	...	...	43,022	16,759	...	...	...	535
Divisional Total	56,032	1,46,132	22,593	8,792	1,43,273	80,895	1,432	48,274	9,63,313	39,642	2,14,560	79,658	...	...
1. Salgawan	45	206	...	...	70	533	1,251	15	2,918	77	...	...	7,695	...
2. Manai	111	80	...	...	155	930	1,493	...	3,397	276	...	...	22,445	...
3. Asko	122	308	51	...	359	1,063	603	...	4,004	51	...	...	17,983	...
4. Dhargulli	884	3,938	243	...	1,537	7,895	10,000	30	31,192	1,242	...	...	48,808	...
5. Maraphari	423	853	52	...	887	1,000	...	21	6,512	115	...	...	10,600	...
6. Dhanwar	8,181	12,532	560	...	8,524	21,466	10,008	497	70,397	1,086	...	...	1,36,436	...
7. Amli	69	899	...	...	74	622	603	32	2,696	30	...	...	950	...
8. Manjhladih	134	12	26	...	870	1,047	6,520	...	9,244	523	...	...	10,250	...
9. Sikri	1,562	2,634	240	...	2,289	13,068	17,410	228	43,912	1,428	...	...	2,02,443	...
10. Dadikalan	781	5,691	243	...	1,509	6,8 2	5,830	51	28,255	1,120	...	...	51,218	...
11. Karharbari-/6/-	218	1,170	23	...	215	672	...	...	3,672	76	...	...	6,673	...
12. Kathamdiri	1,129	6,866	24	...	698	5,605	530	104	20,274	41	...	...	59,183	...
13. Destin	9	...	2	...	8	5	...	...	465	36	674	...	...	...
14. Hosir II	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
15. Gawan	1,696	4,417	125	...	16,006	3,346	68,341	240	1,12,970	25	...	...	6,03,237	...
Total	10,364	30,166	1,614	...	32,710	64,761	1,22,587	1,218	3,52,408	6,126	674	...	11,71,914	...

Disbursements of Wards', Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1938-39—concld.

Division and District.		Estates.		Net income, i.e., column 6 of Appendix I minus columns 6 and 9 of Appendix II.	Disbursement on account of— Revenue and cess due to Government. Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Payment.	Rents and cess due to superior landlords. Current demand.	Current and arrear demand.	Payment.	Management. Expenditure.	Percentage of cost of management charges (column 10) on recurring demand (columns 4 and 12 of Appendix I).
1		2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Chota Nagpur—concld.	Ranchi ...	1. Tupudana	E.	1,891	...	...	...	322	325	325	361	8·2
		2. Tamar ...	,,	6,582	...	...	...	11,768	16,074	7,555	2,260	8·1
		3. Guru ...	,,	957	...	...	...	255	309	309	163	8·1
		4. Biru ...	,,	14,002	...	...	...	10,919	14,635	14,635	2,669	5·5
		5. Bhargaon	...	1,681	...	...	...	285	285	259	183	8·1
		6. Narekela ...	...	1,035	...	...	...	181	213	213	146	8·0
		7. Toto ½ R.N.T.	...	5,491	...	...	...	824	841	836	646	9·0
		8. Korambe ...	...	9,134	...	...	...	5,225	6,096	3,032	1,554	8·3
		9. Lachragarh	...	4,966	...	...	...	2,742	3,654	3,654	907	8·5
		10. Nawagarh	...	5,985	...	...	...	5,050	5,763	6,224	1,280	8·0
		11. Masmano...	...	4,809	...	...	...	2,227	2,955	2,191	629	7·3
		12. Dowaru ...	...	2,239	...	...	...	483	5 3	...	298	7·7
		13. Jario ...	...	2,634	...	...	...	713	3,094	605	308	8·6
		14. Tarakel ...	...	4,590	...	...	...	2,020	2,732	2,732	706	8·0
		15. Pandra ...	...	1,268	...	...	...	386	528	47	264	8·5
		16. Barrang ...	...	2,379	...	...	...	830	2,580	1,336	468	8·6
		17. Gamharia	...	1,223	...	...	...	327	1,444	614	268	8·9
		18. Jouha ...	...	1,465	...	...	...	967	967	200	299	10·1
		19. Burhadih ...	...	2,596	...	...	...	1,650	5,384	...	500	9·5
		20. Sonahatu ...	...	2,541	...	...	...	1,426	8,180	1,539	730	10·9
		21. Taisar ...	...	2,571	...	...	...	1,531	4,548	2,473	844	11·7
		22. Siri ...	...	4,614	...	...	...	2,270	2,270	1,570	897	10·2
		23. Jamudag ...	...	73	...	...	...	996	996	...	199	7·8
		24. Loahatu ...	...	2	...	...	...	954	954	...	168	6·5
		Total	...	84,718	...	...	...	54,411	85,300	51,248	17,044	8·0
	Palamau ...	1. Chainpur ...	W.	10,769	7,560	7,560	7,560	964	964	906	6,921	15·5
		2. Bisrampur	E.	14,5.5	6,739	6,730	6,739	...	...	...	4,224	15·4
		3. Sonepura ...	W.	26,366	28,059	28,068	28,068	5	6	5	8,527	11·2
		4. Untari ...	E	31,086	17,891	17,891	17,891	94	94	94	10,917	12·0
		5. Gauryaban (Khapro)	...	1,804	32	32	44	404	404	404	487	10·4
		6. Tori ...	...	17,703	45	45	45	14,746	14,746	7,182	4,409	12·6
		7. Pokhraha ...	...	390	65	65	65	114	114	...	117	10·4
		8. Gernasati ...	...	1,873	...	...	...	566	800	314	366	9·5
		9. Barahi ...	...	2,042	2	3	2	497	507	33	371	12·2
		10. Nagarpratappur	...	2,989	2,629	2,629	2,639	120	120	120	1,139	11·5
		11. Nawdiha ...	...	789	720	720	720	288	576	900	392	11·1
		12. Kanchanpur	...	1,585	34	34	34	439	429	209	415	10·5
		13. Haidernagar	...	872	292	390	238	722	722	...	...	...
		Total	...	1,13,628	64,068	64,175	64,035	18,949	19,481	9,567	39,315	12·6
	Manbhum ...	1. Barabhum ...	W.	51,738	22,887	22,887	22,287	...	...	...	9,624	12·6
		2. Katras ...	E.	24,643	17,035	17,035	12,385	71	71	71	7,441	11·3
		3. Tundi ...	E.	13,476	6,391	6,391	6,391	...	...	...	4,197	11·4
		4. Baghmundi ...	E.	14,333	7,075	7,075	7,076	265	265	265	3,127	11·[illegible]
		Total	...	1,04,185	53,388	53,388	48,738	336	336	336	24,389	11·[illegible]
	Singhbhum ...	1. Charai and Murum	E.	253	35	35	...	...	...	...	28	9·[illegible]
		2. Anandpur ...	W.	9,127	1,358	1,358	1,358	34	116	65	2,467	14·[illegible]
		Total	...	9,380	1,393	1,393	1,358	34	116	65	2,495	15·[illegible]
		Divisional Total	...	4,57,374	1,38,948	1,39,715	1,34,316	93,391	1,24,534	80,617	1,22,645	11·[illegible]
		Grand Total	...	33,86,561	10,95,151	12,38,099	10,17,967	1,67,796	2,69,368	1,46,223	6,83,087	12·[illegible]

Division and District.		Estates.	Other disbursements. Law expenses.	Maintenance and education allowances of the proprietor and family.	Schools, dispensaries and charities.	Improvements.	Miscellaneous, including Survey and Settlement costs.	Suspense accounts.	Payment of debts.	Investments.	Total disbursements.	Cash balance at the close of the year.	Debts due at the close of the year. (a) Revenue and cess due to Government.	(b) Rents and cess due to superior landlords.	(c) Other debts.	Market value of Government securities at the close of the year.
1		2	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Chota Nagpur—concld.	Ranchi ...	1. Tupudana	146	1,770	...	...	165	249	200	...	3,316	51	...	...	6,018	...
		2. Tamar	1,442	3,902	96	...	1,548	4,170	513	...	21,492	56	...	8,519	24,274	...
		3. Guru	134	834	...	...	63	481	...	...	1,984	658	...	...	6,318	...
		4. Biru	1,269	7,671	224	550	2,325	8,985	...	...	38,328	1,393	...	...	26,204	...
		5. Bhargaon	179	469	...	...	66	849	714	...	2 719	330	...	...	9,038	...
		6. Narekela	82	478	...	...	181	435	...	...	1,525	278	...	...	10,368	...
		7. Toto ½ R.N.T.	1,127	2,200	...	...	472	1,836	1,108	...	8,225	192	...	...	4,937	...
		8. Korambe	887	2,243	24	...	1,105	2,501	5,187	...	17,433	684	...	2,164	1,66,303	...
		9. Lachragarh	478	1,484	...	41	355	1,485	2,818	...	11,322	136	...	...	89,790	...
		10. Nawagarh	556	1,535	...	...	583	4,546	1,100	...	15,864	145	...	...	2,40,143	...
		11. Masmano	760	3,103	...	4	2,140	2,998	357	...	12,441	169	...	664	4?,230	...
		12. Dowaru	455	615	12	...	176	1,187	905	...	3,548	45	...	523	33,106	...
		13. Jario	505	1,307	10	...	1,463	2,797	...	...	7,085	26	...	2,520	24,041	...
		14. Tarakel	995	2,043	...	...	475	882	1,613	...	9,445	277	...	...	42,573	...
		15. Pandra	347	996	...	...	82	478	54	...	2,2[illegible]8	14	...	481	18,181	...
		16. Barrang	513	2,169	...	...	312	1,983	171	205	7,155	25	...	1,457	44,608	...
		17. Gamharia	560	1,531	...	5	74	458	...	...	3,510	35	...	917	15,755	...
		18. Jouha	657	755	...	...	509	981	68	...	3,460	7	...	767	11,243	...
		19. Burhadih	780	1,155	12	...	126	1,694	...	...	4,276	12	...	...	11,897	...
		20. Sonahatu	468	1,594	...	...	222	932	...	...	5,455	100	...	1,065	22,110	...
		21. Taisar	427	1,073	...	...	199	1,225	...	...	6,240	236	...	2,376	39,816	...
		22. Siri	1,518	952	...	...	307	2,151	1,206	...	8,601	88	...	700	91,547	...
		23. Jamudag	88	157	...	...	52	100	...	...	596	5	...	...	26,329	...
		24. Loahatu	37	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	211	3	...	...	12,816	...
		Total	14,439	39,936	378	600	12,947	43,369	16,133	205	1,96,299	4,944	...	23,159	10,20,601	...
	Palamau ...	1. Chainpur	5,006	18,436	3,059	449	7,994	7,231	...	...	57,592	12	...	58	...	...
		2. Bisrampur	1,123	6,536	131	406	2,165	8,349	4,721	...	34,394	69	...	...	2,16,945	...
		3. Sonepura	8,656	13,849	189	543	9,618	15,350	16,383	...	1,01,187	2,137	...	...	1,45,966	...
		4. Untari	7,133	21,725	3,040	1,560	7,003	12,757	...	525	83,665	785	...	...	3,09,339	...
		5. Gauryaban (Khapro)	331	1,910	3	17	348	1,071	379	...	4,894	1,210	...	..	50,695	...
		6. Tori	1,300	3,186	24	421	3,168	9,565	3,350	...	31,650	2,931	...	7,564	2,34,390	...
		7. Pokhraha	18	603	2	29	255	965	...	...	2,054	1	...	114	3,411	...
		8. Gernasati	85	1,906	...	13	182	77	...	...	2,943	76	...	486	52,641	...
		9. Barahi	41	1,744	3	12	345	1,006	...	...	3,557	3	...	474	39,240	...
		10. Nagarpratappur	284	1,455	6	165	734	1,180	1,500	...	9,162	298	...	...	98,787	...
		11. Nawdiha	135	118	...	57	452	1,333	422	...	3,829	34	...	276	55,730	...
		12. Kanchanpur	20	1,825	...	16	231	45	...	...	2,834	35	...	320	37,159	...
		13. Haidernagar	257	681	...	...	58	285	...	...	1,909	36	152	722	23,773	...
		Total	24,218	73,874	6,457	3,707	32,533	59,144	25,755	525	3,38,160	7,627	152	9,914	12,68,035	...
	Manbhum ...	1. Barabhum	7,802	36,185	2,820	3,614	4,514	22,916	...	...	1,10,262	8,521	...	...	...	...
		2. Katras	1,217	8,879	150	529	25,134	14,649	3,634	...	74,089	112	4,650	...	2,83,038 11,36,986	...
		3. Tundi	3,292	3,715	49	671	4,204	7,612	598	...	30,729	178	...	...	3,03,924 7,970	...
		4. Baghmundi	1,087	5,336	720	1,204	2,119	7,075	19	...	29,027	1,674	...	...	69,655	...
		Total	14,298	54,115	3,730	6,118	35,971	52,152	4,251	...	2,44,107	10,485	4,650	...	6,56,617 11,44,956	...
	Singhbhum ...	1. Charai and Murum	...	...	...	...	23	...	...	...	51	390	35	...	4,882	...
		2. Anandpur	876	5,222	63	15	2,582	...	...	5	12,653	4,206	...	51	...	...
		Total	876	5,222	63	15	2,605	...	...	5	12,704	4,596	35	51	4,882	...
		Divisional Total	64,225	2,12,313	12,251	10,440	1,16,766	2,19,426	1,68,726	1,953	11,43,678	33,778	5,511	32,124	41,22,099 11,44,956	...
		Grand Total	1,68,907	6,74,7[illegible]9	1,73,265	4,22,122	6,79,621	6,63,574	1,85,645	50,648	48,71,827	9,86,016	2,20,071	1,12,153	41,23,237 11,44,956	...

†Debts due to the Nawagarh coal Company.

APPENDIX III.

Classification of the balances of estates in which the arrear is more than 25 per cent of the current demand for the year 1938-39.

Division.	District.	Name of Estate.	Current demand.	Balances. Barred by limitation.	For which the tenants deny liability, their objections being classed as— Valid.	Invalid.	Admitted and legally recoverable. Which cannot be realized owing to the poverty of the tenants or other adequate cause.	Which the tenants are able to pay and ought to be made to pay.	Total.	Percentage of total balances on the current demand.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Tirhut	Darbhanga	Jogiara ... T.	4,118	...	...	...	...	1,085	1,085	26'3
		Total ...	4,118	...	...	...	...	1,085	1,085	26'3
	Champaran	Bettiah ... W.	28,90,928	...	12,691	...	48,151	19,93,765	20,54,607	70'8
		Total ...	28,90,928	...	12,691	...	48,151	19,93,765	20,54,607	70'8
		Divisional Total ...	29,04,046	...	12,691	...	48,151	19,94,850	20,55,692	70'7
Bhagalpur	Monghyr	Gidhaur ... W.	3,27,716	3,86,519	...	...	...	6,46,517	10,33,036	315'2
		Bahadurpur ... W.	1,91,858	...	...	307	...	2,68,821	2,69,128	140'2
		Shakerpura ... W.	4,557	...	...	...	...	2,276	2,276	49'9
		Total ...	5,24,131	3,86,519	...	307	...	9,17,614	13,04,440	248'9
	Bhagalpur	Cleveland ... T.	322	...	...	...	...	286	286	88'8
		Total ...	322	...	...	...	...	286	286	88'8
	Purnea	Khagra ... W.	4,39,568	3,56,121	83,152	42,683	...	7,96,818	12,78,774	290'0
		Mrs. Downing's ... W.	73,570	...	...	...	7,656	48,415	56,071	76'2
		Umanath ... W.	47,668	56,677	729	...	15,012	48,589	1,21,037	253'9
		Mirza Muhammad Hussain's T.	1,310	...	...	...	...	700	700	53'43
		Total ...	5,62,116	4,12,798	83,881	42,683	22,698	8,94,523	14,56,582	259'1
	Santal Parganas	Naukar Sankara ... W.	43,996	492	...	...	...	82,333	82,825	188'25
		Total ...	43,996	492	...	...	...	82,333	82,825	188'25
		Divisional Total ...	11,30,565	7,99,809	83,881	42,990	22,698	18,94,755	28,44,133	251'6
Chota Nagpur	Hazaribagh	1. Salgawan ... E.	2,722	...	43	...	1,433	112	1,618	59'4
		2. Manai ... ,,	3,505	...	95	...	1,108	187	1,390	39'6
		3. Asko ... ,,	3,244	...	517	...	4,150	185	4,852	149'5
		4. Dhargulli ... ,,	23,616	...	693	...	7,021	1,596	9,310	39'4
		5. Marapharl ... ,,	5,699	...	1,523	...	...	2,711	4,234	74'2
		6. Dhanwar ... ,,	80,544	...	1,573	...	1,03,781	2,359	1,06,713	132'4
		7. Amli ... ,,	2,807	...	...	...	831	61	893	31'7
		8. Manjhladih ... ,,	5,804	...	6	...	2,262	43	2,811	30'2
		9. Sikri ... ,,	45,414	...	2,986	...	23,631	1,786	28,403	63'5
		10. Dadikalan ... ,,	23,679	...	1,182	...	13,435	1,311	15,928	67'2
		11. Karharbari (6 as.) ... ,,	4,717	...	77	...	6,866	240	7,183	152'2
		12. Kathamdiri ... ,,	15,150	...	2,029	...	8,725	7,006	18,360	121'1
		13. Deotan ... ,,	1,405	...	...	...	...	1,009	1,009	71'8
		14. Hosir II ... ,,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		15. Gawan ... W.	30,965	...	11,836	...	...	28,300	40,036	129'2
		Total ...	2,49,361	...	22,560	...	1,74,984	44,695	2,42,239	97'1
	Ranchi	1. Tupudana ... E.	4,242	176	138	...	4,731	911	5,946	140'1
		2. Tamar ... ,,	25,013	...	1,293	2,031	39,318	8,325	50,967	203'8
		3. Guru ... ,,	1,965	17	...	...	1,451	166	1,634	83'1
		4. Biru ... ,,	29,667	...	318	730	14,080	9,710	24,838	83'7
		5. Bhargaon ... ,,	2,072	...	...	...	2,095	157	2,252	108'7
		6. Narekela ... ,,	1,769	13	40	...	1,746	309	2,116	110'6
		7. Toto ½ R. N. T. ... ,,	6,966	18	14	...	5,455	76	5,563	79'9
		8. Korambe ... ,,	17,608	...	280	56	20,122	872	21,330	121'2
		9. Lachragarh ... ,,	9,960	143	228	...	7,787	2,137	10,288	103'3
		10. Nawagarh ... ,,	15,227	...	62	324	12,396	748	13,420	88'1
		11. Masmano ... ,,	9,026	...	722	1,598	10,913	456	13,689	151'6
		12. Dowaru ... ,,	3,605	...	...	...	5,163	590	5,753	159'6
		13. Jario ... ,,	4,393	168	190	612	5,667	498	7,135	166'2
		14. Jarakel ... ,,	8,362	40	710	40	8,471	1,639	10,909	130'4
		15. Pandra ... ,,	2,985	...	56	1,858	4,438	107	5,959	199'6
		16. Barrang ... ,,	6,156	...	275	376	6,271	528	7,450	144'3
		17. Gamharia ... ,,	2,819	...	195	44	3,721	388	4,348	154'3
		18. Jonha ... ,,	2,448	...	414	112	2,713	418	3,656	149'3
		19. Burhadih ... ,,	5,147	235	26	...	8,038	1,363	96.62	187'7
		20. Sonahatu ... ,,	6,128	204	...	...	8,750	1,649	10,503	171'4
		21. Tisar ... ,,	7,112	...	...	...	3,732	478	3,310	45'1
		22. Siri ... ,,	8,158	...	446	...	9,519	3,016	12,981	159'1
		23. Jamudag ... ,,	2,301	...	...	...	25.21	5	2,526	109'7
		24. Loahatu ... ,,	2,678	24	...	...	3,122	...	3,146	122'0
		Total ...	1,84,605	1,040	5,424	7,181	1,91,209	34,436	2,39,290	129'6

APPENDIX III—concld.

Classification of the balances of estates in which the arrear is more than 25 per cent of the current demand for the year 1938-39—concld.

Division.	District.	Name of Estate.	Current demand.	Balances. Barred by limitation.	For which the tenants deny liability, their objections being classed as— Valid.	Invalid.	Admitted and legally recoverable. Which cannot be realized owing to the poverty of the tenants or other adequate cause.	Which the tenants are able to pay and ought to be made to pay.	Total.	Percentage of total balances on the current demand.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Chota Nagpur—concld.	Palamau	1. Chainpur ... ... W.	35,737	...	...	...	17,952	74,021	91,973	257'5
		2. Bisrampur ... ... E.	26,289	...	...	...	12,317	48,833	61,150	232'6
		3. Sonepura ... W.	49,357	...	...	...	13,044	94,300	1,07,344	217'4
		4. Untari ... ... E.	75,099	...	...	...	99,567	1,64,588	2,64,164	351'7
		5. Gauriyaban (Khapro) ... ,,	4,450	...	...	...	6,728	8,781	15,509	348'5
		6. Tori ... ... ,,	31,387	...	...	...	8,290	46,581	54,871	174'8
		7. Pokhraha ... ... ,,	1,020	...	...	...	342	1,985	2,327	228'1
		8. Geruasoti ... ... ,,	3,412	...	...	...	4,955	5,423	10,378	304'1
		9. Barahi ... ... ,,	2,492	...	...	...	43	2,139	2,182	87'5
		10. Nagarprateppur ... ,,	8,894	...	...	...	1,947	10,781	12,728	143'3
		11. Naudiha ... ... ,,	3,286	...	...	...	303	2,266	2,569	78'1
		12. Kanchanpur ... ,,	3,615	...	...	...	872	5,542	6,414	177'4
		13. Haidernagar ... ,,	3,941	...	...	...	25	6,267	6,272	159'6
		Total ...	2,48,959	...	...	...	1,66,394	4,71,507	6,37,901	256'2
	Manbhum	1. Barabhum... W.	73,073	33	5,235	...	...	1,03,312	1,08,580	148'5
		2. Katras ... E.	47,022	134	2,959	...	...	53,081	56,174	119'4
		3. Tundi ... ... ,,	35,282	43	9,587	...	...	43,343	52,973	149'7
		4. Baghmundi ... ... ,,	26,505	234	5,578	...	...	37,364	43,176	162'8
		Total ...	1,81,987	444	23,359	...	...	2,37,100	2,60,903	143'3
	Singhbhum	1. Anandpur ... ... W.	17,548	2,260	79	541	10,232	23,984	37,096	211'4
		2. Charal and Murum ... E.	542	...	...	...	...	337	337	62'2
		Total ...	18,090	2,260	79	541	10,232	24,321	37,433	206'9
		Divisional Total ...	8,83,002	3,744	51,423	7,722	5,42,819	8,12,060	14,17,767	160'5
		GRAND TOTAL ...	49,17,613	8,03,553	1,47,994	50,712	8,13,668	47,01,665	63,17,592	128'4

APPENDIX IV.

Operations of the Certificate Procedure in the Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1938-39.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Number of certificates pending at the beginning of the year.	Number of certificates filed during the year.	Total for disposal.	Number satisfied during the year.	Number struck off.	Total number disposed of.	Number pending at the close of the year.	Amount of demand covered by certificates in column 6.	Total amount realized by certificates.	Total amount unrealized and outstanding.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
										Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Tirhut ...	Darbhanga	Jogiara ... T.	16	43	59	56	...	56	3	211	206	5
		Total ...	16	43	59	56	...	56	3	211	206	5
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ... W.	837	2,693	3,539	1,540	27	1,567	1,962	1,05,6 3	35,426	69,544
		Total ...	837	2,692	3,529	1,540	27	1,567	1,962	1,05,613	35,426	69,544
		Divisional Total ...	853	2,735	3,588	1,596	27	1,623	1,965	1,05,824	35,632	69,540
Bhagalpur ...	Monghyr ..	Gidhaur ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Bahadurpur ... W.	496	504	1,000	455	1	456	544	35,120	17,879	17,211
		Shakerpura .. W.	2	...	2	2	...	2	...	...	140	...
		Total ...	498	504	1,002	457	1	458	544	35,120	18,028	17,241
	Bhagalpur ...	Cleveland ... T.	...	6	6	4	...	4	2	232	203	29
		Total ...	...	6	6	4	...	4	2	232	203	29
	Purnea ...	Khagra ... W.	124	985	1,109	684	76	760	349	1,03,029	48,295	54,734
		Mrs. Downing's W.	1	35	36	7	...	7	29	5,955	1,408	4,603
		Umanath ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Mirza Muhammad Hussain's T.	...	20	20	20	...	20	...	407	407	...
		Total ...	1 5	1,04	1,165	711	76	787	378	1,09,291	50,110	59,33
	Santal Parganas.	Nankar Sankara W.	267	151	418	98	...	98	320	62,774	25,691	37,08
		Total ...	267	151	418	98	...	98	320	62,774	25,691	37,08
		Divisional Total ...	890	1,701	2,591	1,370	77	1,347	1,244	2,07,517	94,032	1,13,6

APPENDIX IV—*concld.*

Operations of the Certificate Procedure in the Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1938-39.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Number of certificates pending at the beginning of the year.	Number of certificates filed during the year.	Total for disposal.	Number satisfied during the year.	Number struck off.	Total number disposed of.	Number pending at the close of the year.	Amount of demand covered by certificates in column 6.	Total amount realized by certificates.	Total amount unrealized an outstanding.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
										Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Chota Nagpur	Hazaribagh...	1. Salgawan ... E.	...	7	7	4	...	4	3	166	53	113	
		2. Manai ... E.	2	5	7	4	...	4	3	310	8[illegible]	230	
		3. Asko ... E.	7	11	18	9	7	16	2	541	2[illegible]0	334	
		4. Dhargulli ... E.	18	49	67	37	1	38	29	3,903	1,839	2,064	
		5. Maraphari ... E.	21	1	22	22	...	22	...	414	414	...	
		6. Dhanwar ... E.	138	250	388	313	5	318	70	11,027	9,932	1,095	
		7. Amli ... E.	...	3	3	2	...	2	1	61	33	28	
		8. Marjhiadih ... E.	5	3	8	6	2	8	...	311	269	42	
		9. Sikri ... E.	108	66	174	123	1	124	50	4,874	3,655	1,219	
		10. Dadikalan ... E.	27	50	77	39	...	39	38	2,069	1,001	1,068	
		11. Karharbari 6 as. E.	6	19	25	16	...	16	9	504	283	221	
		12. Kathamdiri ... E.	43	47	90	47	...	47	43	4,[illegible]33	1,262	2,071	
		13. Deotan ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		14. Hosir II ... "	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		15. Gawan ... W.	27	113	140	62	9	71	69	4,408	2,544	1,864	
		Total ...	402	624	1,026	684	25	709	317	33,724	21,575	12,149	
	Ranchi ...	1. Siri ... E	...	134	134	46	...	46	88	3,813	2,932	881	
		2. Tupudana ... E.	22	35	57	3	...	3	54	1,644	422	1,222	
		3. Korambe ... E.	54	85	139	77	4	81	58	4,094	2,366	1,728	
		4. Bhargaon ... E	17	30	47	29		20	18	786	591	195	
		5. Guru ... E.	4	12	16	5	...	5	11	344	157	187	
		6 Toto ½ R.N.T. E.	9	70	79	63	...	63	16	1,314	1,200	114	
		7. Lachragarh ... E.	25	48	73	31	...	31	42	1,941	1,012	929	
		8. Masmano ... E.	65	86	151	32	...	32	119	3,439	615	2,834	
		9. Dowaru ... E.	15	34	49	4	...	4	45	1,058	68	990	
		10. Jario ... E.	38	37	75	8	1	9	66	1,437	414	1,023	
		11. Tamar ... E.	106	236	342	309	...	309	33	13,979	8,198	5,781	
		12. Biru ... E.	30	55	85	53	...	53	32	4,078	3,884	94	
		13. Jarakel ... E.	7	74	81	19	...	19	62	3,081	1,609	1,472	
		14. Pandra ... E.	14	25	39	1	1	2	37	810	38	772	
		15. Barrang ... E.	31	40	71	10	...	10	61	1,266	125	1,081	
		16. Jonha ... E.	37	...	37	24	...	24	13	1,049	702	347	
		17. Gamharia ... E.	...	45	45	20	...	20	25	1,202	561	641	
		18. Burhadih ... E.	39	26	65	32	4	36	29	1,910	1,485	425	
		19. Taisar ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	
		20. Sonahatu ... E.	33	59	92	28	...	28	64	1,450	715	735	
		21. Nawagarh ... E.	12	70	82	49	...	49	33	2,692	1,726	966	
		22. Narekela ... E.	9	18	27	8	...	8	19	688	243	445	
		23. Jaradag ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		24. Loahatu ... E.	...	—	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	567	1,219	1,786	851	10	861	925	53,015	29,[illegible]63	22,552	
	Palamau ...	1. Naudiha ... E.	4	...	4	3	1	4	...	418	418	...	
		2 Tori ... E.	31	357	388	119	3	122	266	20,589	8,017	17,672	
		3. Barahi ... E.	...	8	8	1	...	1	7	158	9	149	
		4. Nagarpratappur E.	4	48	52	13	...	13	39	2,774	306	2,4[illegible]8	
		5. Khapro (Gauriaban). E.	28	129	157	32	4	36	121	4,190	776	3,414	
		6. Pokhraha ... E.	9	9	18	6	1	7	11	311	147	164	
		7. Geruasoti ... E.	1	33	34	2	3	5	29	1,174	39	1,135	
		8. Chainpur ... W.	191	418	609	185	128	313	296	33,187	10,508	22,589	
		9. Bisrampur ... E.	54	154	208	92	8	100	108	11,879	5,784	6,095	
		10. Untari ... E.	244	529	773	343	55	398	375	31,257	19,948	11,309	
		11. Sonepura ... W.	118	128	246	140	16	156	90	24,449	12,783	11,666	
		12. Kanchanpur.. E.	...	66	66	5	1	6	60	1,480	142	1,338	
		13. Haidarnagar... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	684	1,879	2,563	941	230	1,161	1,402	1,37,866	59,867	77,999	
	Manbhum ...	1, Barabhum ... W.	146	747	892	761	6	767	125	29,550	20,750	8,791	
		2. Katras ... E.	65	272	337	237	1	238	99	8,721	4,610	4,111	
		3. Tundi ... E.	27	208	235	174	14	188	47	23,123	5,652	17,471	
		4. Baghmundi ... E.	26	220	246	239	3	242	4	5,779	4,666	1,113	
		Total ...	263	1,447	1,710	1,411	24	1,435	275	67,173	35,687	31,486	
	Singhbhum ...	1. Anandpur ... W.	...	112	112	...	...	...	112	1,938	...	1,938	
		2. Charai and Marum. ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	112	112	...	...	...	112	1,938	...	1,938	
		Divisional Total ...	1,916	5,281	7,197	3,887	379	4,166	3,031	2,92,716	1,46,292	1,46,424	
		Grand Total ...	4,846	9,611	14,497	7,813	333	8,146	6,351	7,09,655	3,51,567	3,57,680	

APPENDIX V.

Civil suits filed for recovery of rents and cesses due to the Wards, Encumbered and Trust Estates for the year 1938-39.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Number of civil suits pending from last year.	Number filed during the year.	Total for disposal.	Number disposed of during the year.	Number pending more than six months.	Number pending less than six months.	Total number pending.	Amount of demand covered by civil suits in column 6.	Amount decreed.	Amount recovered.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
										Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Tirhut ...	Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ... ... T.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ... ... W.	6	9	15	10	3	2	5	6,511	3,277	85	
		Total ...	6	9	15	10	3	2	5	6,511	3,277	85	
		Divisional Total ...	6	9	15	10	3	2	5	6,511	3,277	85	
Bhagalpur ...	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ... W.	47	1,275	1,322	1,144	15	163	178	1,25,169	86,591	1,600	
		Bahadurpur ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Shakarpura ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	47	1,275	1,322	1,144	15	163	178	1,25,169	86,591	1,600	
	Bhagalpur...	Cleveland ... T.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Purnea ...	Khagra ... ... W.	13	126	139	120	18	1	19	36,706	23,281	18	
		Mrs. Downing's ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Umanalh Misra .. W.	224	8	232	231	1	...	1	6,947	6,905	1,540	
		Total ...	237	134	371	351	19	1	20	43,653	36,186	1,558	
	Santal Parganas.	Nankar Saukara ... W.	2	14	16	8	...	8	8	656	505	72	
		Total ...	2	14	16	8	...	8	8	656	505	72	
		Divisional Total ...	286	1,423	1,709	1,503	34	172	206	1,69,478	1,17,342	3,230	
Chota Nagpur	Hazaribagh	Salgawan ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Manai ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Asko ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Dhargulli ... E.	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	485	...	...	
		Maraphari ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Dhanwar ... E.	3	2	5	4	1	...	1	448	415	104	
		Amli ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Manjhladih ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Sikri ... E	...	2	2	1	...	1	1	26	1	...	
		Dadikalan... ... E.	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	56	...	...	
		Karharbari 6 annas ... E.	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	59	...	...	
		Kathamdiri ... E.	...	2	2	1	...	1	1	899	669	...	
		Deoton ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Hosir ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Gawan ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	3	9	12	6	3	3	6	1,973	1,085	104	
	Ranchi ...	Siri ... ... E.	...	12	12	1	...	11	11	401	495	43	
		Tupudana ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Korambe ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Bhargaon ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Guru ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Toto ½ (R. N. T.) ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Lachragarh... ... E.	...	7	7	7	...	...	...	173	207	207	
		Masmano ... ... E.	11	...	11	11	...	...	...	406	505	313	
		Dowaru ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Jario ... ... E.	5	...	5	5	...	...	...	399	505	306	
		Tamar ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Biru ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Jarakel ... ... E.	33	...	33	31	2	...	2	1,093	1,281	1,236	
		Pandra ... ... E.	2	...	2	2	...	...	...	587	634	634	
		Barrang ... ... E.	8	...	8	1	7	...	7	295	353	226	
		Jonha ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Gamharia ... ... E.	2	...	2	2	...	...	...	63	83	83	
		Burbadia ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Taisar ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Sonahatu ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Nawagarh ... ... E.	2	...	2	2	...	...	...	132	164	164	
		Narekela ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Jamudag ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Lohabatu ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	63	19	82	62	9	11	20	3,549	4,216	3,212	
	Palamau ...	Chainpur ... ... W.	1	6	7	6	1	...	1	2,111	718	54	
		Untari ... ... E.	1	20	21	8	13	...	13	20,262	2,131	14	
		Haidunagar ... E.	...	11	11	6	5	...	5	1,842	192	65	
		Gerussoti ... ... E.	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	309	309	...	
		Khapro (Gauriyabar)... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Kanchanpur ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Bisrampur ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Sonepura .. ... W.	1	24	25	25	...	...	...	61	146	5	
		Nawdiha ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Nagar Pratappur ... E.	...	29	29	...	29	...	29	69	...	...	
		Barahi ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Tori ... ... E.	...	6	6	...	6	...	6	320	...	...	
		Pokhraha ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	4	96	100	46	54	...	54	25,064	3,586	138	
	Manbhum ...	Barabhum ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Katras ... ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Tundi ... ... E.	...	12	12	12	...	...	...	84	84	11	
		Baghmundi ... E.	...	14	14	14	...	...	...	241	240	76	
		Total ...	...	26	26	26	...	...	...	325	324	87	
	Singhbhum	Anandpur ... W.	...	265	...	265	265	...	...	13,871	14,505	606	
		Charai and Murumi ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	265	...	265	265	...	...	13,871	14,505	606	
		Divisional Total ...	335	150	485	405	66	14	80	44,782	23,716	4,147	
		Grand Total ...	388	1,648	1,938	1,639	54	243	297	2,02,607	1,28,242	6,353	

APPENDIX VI.

APP[illegible]IX VI.

[VIDE PARAGR[illegible] 23 OF THE TEXT.]

Return of leases for minerals in force in Wards [illegible]ncumbered Estates during the year 1938-39.

Minerals (class or kinds).	Number of leases in force.	Areas covered.	Arrear demand.					Arrear collections.					[illegible]
			Dead rent.	Surface rent.	Royalty.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	Dead rent.	Surface rent.	Royalty.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	[illegible]
		Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Coal	12	10,076	1,750	...	...	57	1,867	(a) 878	...	...	57	935	
Mica	4	130,328·57	2,562	...	3,218	...	5,780	2,562	...	1,917	...	4,479	
Lime stone	3	663·	...	80	830	...	910	...	...	830	...	830	
All minerals	3	331,220	...	...	1,800	...	1,800	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	22	472,282·57	4,312	80	5,848	57	10,297	(a) 3,440	...	2,747	57	6,244	

Minerals (class or kinds).	Current demand.					Current collections.					Balance (column 19 — column 24).	Remarks.
	Dead rent.	Surface rent.	Royalty.	Other receipts, if any.	Total.	Dead rent.	Surface rent.	Royalty.	Other receipts, if any	Total.		
1	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Coal	10,474	...	{ 15,440 / 98,242 }	6	{ 25,920 / 98,242 }	9,471	...	{ 15,410 / 98,242 }	6	{ 24,917 / 98,242 }	1,003	
Mica	3,200	...	70,508	...	73,708	3,000	...	(b) 75,044	...	78,044	200	
Lime stone	...	120	20,190	...	20,310	...	100	17,072	...	17,172	3,138	
All minerals	...	...	900	...	900	...	...	...	...	...	900	
Total	13,674	120	{ 1,07,038 / 98,242 }	6	{ 1,20,838 / 98,242 }	12,471	100	{ (b) 1,07,556 / 98,242 }	6	{ 1,20,133 / 98,242 }	5,241	

(a) Includes remission of Rs. 178.
(b) Includes Rs, 4,536 as advance payment.

APPENDIX VIII—*concld.*

Statement showing mutations in the tenants' rights for the year ending 31st March 1939—concld.

Division and District.	Estate.	Total area of the estate, in acres.	Total number of tenants.	Number of mutations registered. Sale. Whole. (a)	Sale. Part. (b)	Succession. Whole. (a)	Succession. Part. (b)	Partition. Whole. (a)	Partition. Part. (b)	Gift. Whole. (a)	Gift. Part. (b)	Total. Whole. (a)	Total. Part. (b)	Percentage of mutations to total number of tenants [columns 9(a) and 9(b) on column 4].	Total area in acres transferred.	Average price per acre of land sold.
1	2	3	4	5		6		7		8		9		10	11	12
Chota Nagpur Division—*concld.*																Rs. a.
Ranchi	1. Tupudana ... E.	5,328	444	3	...	52	...	...	...	...	...	55	...	12·4	17·69	10 0
	2. Tamar ... E.	331,220	2,320	2	...	24	...	...	...	...	...	26	...	1·1	2·82	200 0
	3. Guru ... E.	3,424	340	...	...	18	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	5·3	...	...
	4. Biru ... E.	606,094	7,095	10	...	82	...	...	...	...	...	92	...	1·3	93·73	14 0
	5. Bhargaon ... E.	2,683	383	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	6. Narekela ... E.	3,398	278	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	1·8	...	[illegible]
	7. Toto ½ B.N.T. E.	10,721	1,040	3	...	16	...	...	...	...	...	19	...	1·8	2·82	10 0
	8. Korambe ... E.	28,108	2,137	4	...	86	...	...	...	...	...	90	...	4·2	65·85	10 0
	9. Lachragarh ... E.	30,069	1,917	1	...	98	...	...	...	...	...	99	...	5·2	14·30	9 0
	10. Nawagarh ... E.	24,993	2,098	2	...	62	...	...	...	...	...	64	...	3·0	8·10	75 0
	11. Masmano ... E.	18,242	1,515	3	...	12	...	...	...	...	...	15	...	0.9	10·92	11 0
	12. Dowaru ... E.	1,467	907	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	0·1	2·31	7 0
	13. Jario ... E.	14,617	788	2	...	40	...	...	...	...	...	42	...	5·5	11·04	14 0
	14. Jarakel ... E.	22,096	2,015	3	...	100	...	...	...	...	...	103	...	8·1	38·58	4 0
	15. Pandra ... E.	5,095	581	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	0.5	26.99	4 0
	16. Barrang ... E.	13,778	1,033	2	...	10	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	1.1	2·85	25 0
	17. Gamharia ... E.	12,304	560	...	...	10	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	1·8	...	...
	18. Jonha ... E.	55,885	635	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	19. Burhadih ... E.	21,660	767	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	20. Sonahatu ... E.	16,202	1,805	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	21. Siri ... E.	23,263	1,138	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	0·1	21·40	10 0
	22. Taisar ... E.	105,437	3,524	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	23. Jamndag ... E.	3,257	625	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	24. Loahatu ... E.	6,259	1,100	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	1,364,560	35,055	41	...	675	...	...	...	...	...	716	...	2·0	319·40	2 0
Palamau	1. Chainpur ... W.	253,000	7,184	5	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	4	0·01	46·93	...
	2. Bisrampur ... E.	100,941	1,489	5	5	3	...	...	...	...	...	8	5	0·09	10·07	...
	3. Sonepura ... W.	260,173	3,580	21	1	9	...	...	...	...	...	30	1	0·09	2·18	...
	4. Untari. E.	303,570	11,854	22	21	88	...	...	...	...	...	110	21	1·1	88·03	...
	5. Khapro ... E.	21,791	833	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1·19	...
	6. Tori ... E.	426,116	2,539	5	8	24	...	...	...	...	...	29	8	1·04	62·67	...
	7. Pokhraha ... E.	8,254	200	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	8. Gerusoti ... E.	9,070	1,174	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	9. Nagar Pratappur E.	145,073	1,465	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	10. Barahi ... E.	16,274	545	6	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	4	1·9	58·21	...
	11. Nawdiha ... E.	7,180	332	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	12. Kanchanpur ... E.	21,671	632	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	0·01	1·69	...
	13. Haidernagar ... E.	7,673	497	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1·69	...
	Total ...	1,570,795	33,274	67	43	124	...	...	...	...	...	191	43	0·07	...	...
Manbhum	1. Barabhum ... W.	410,722	6,432	12	11	227	...	5	...	3	...	247	11	4·0	68·47	63
	2. Katras ... E.	40,903·62	4,620	19	10	219	...	...	2	1	...	239	12	5·42	97·62	224
	3. Tundi ... E.	97,491·03	4,956	5	17	212	...	...	7	...	1	217	25	4·8	702·37	6
	4. Baghmundi ... E.	97,068·44	5,751	16	10	334	...	...	...	1	...	351	10	6·2	64·71	24
	Total ...	652,185·08	21,768	52	48	992	...	5	9	5	1	1,054	58	5·1	933·17	79
Singhbhum	......	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Divisional Total ...	4,162,438·67	125,024	278	121	2,420	2	9	10	5	1	2,712	134	2·2	1,252·57	81
	Grand Total ...	5,852,622·46	579,453	1,565	6,364	11,267	17	146	451	48	55	13,026	6,887	3·4	22,703·74	213

Statement showing the result of inspections of rent-rolls for the year ending 31st March 1939.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Total number of villages in the estate.	Total number of tenants.	Checked by Tahsildars or other subordinate agency. Number of villages.	Number of tenants.	Percentage of tenants checked to total.	Number of unreported mutations detected.	Checked by manager or other superior agency. Number of villages.	Number of tenants.	Percentage of tenants checked to total.	Number of unreported mutations detected.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Tirhut	Darbhanga ...	Jogiara ... T.	1	759	1	759	100	...	1	250	32·9	...	
		Total ...	1	759	1	759	100	...	1	250	32·9	...	
	Champaran ...	Bettiah ... W.	582	103,757	419	99,081	Thika Villages. 92·60	1,260	253	39,249	37·82	985	
			1,321	290,751	896	150,673	Khum Villages. 51·62	712	859	149,898	51·55	28	
		Total ..	1,903	394,508	1,315	246,754	62·54	1,972	1,112	189,147	47·94	1,013	
		Divisional Total ...	1,904	395,267	1,316	247,513	62·64	1,972	1,113	189,397	47·91	1,013	
Bhagalpur.	Monghyr ...	Gidhaur ... W.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Bahadurpur ... W.	61	16,732	61	16,732	100	...	61	4,323	25·8	...	
		Shakerpura ... W.	5	550	5	550	100	...	5	110	20·	...	
		Total ...	66	17,282	66	17,282	100	...	66	4,433	25·6	...	
	Bhagalpur ...	......	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	
	Purnea ...	Khagra ... W.	766	29,487	766	29,487	100·0	..	234	4,454	15·1	...	
		Mrs. Downing's... W.	150	1,800	88	1,400	77·7	13	14	453	25·1	3	
		Umanath ... W.	75	7,992	55	6,000	76·	...	40	5,000	62·5	...	
		Total ...	991	39,279	909	36,887	93·9	13	288	9,907	25·2	3	
	Santal Parganas...	Nankar Sankara ... W.	109	2,601	109	1,527	58. 7	...	77	897	34·4	112	
		Total ...	109	2,601	109	1,527	58·7	...	77	897	34·4	112	
		DIVISIONAL TOTAL ...	1,166	59,162	1,084	45,696	77·2	13	431	15,237	25·75	115	
Chota Nagpur.	Hazaribagh ...	1. Salgawan ... E.	6	365	2	50	13·7	...	...	...	...	...	
		2. Manai ... E.	16	339	6	302	75·6	...	...	...	...	...	
		3. Asko ... E.	14	399	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		4. Dhargulli ... E.	70	2,800	43	2,335	83·4	...	19	261	9·0	...	
		5. Maraphari ... E.	15	1,033	15	911	88·1	3	11	495	47·9	3	
		6. Dhanwar ... E.	373	10,867	210	5,19[illegible]	47·7	4	...	...	...	..	
		7. Amli ... E.	8	468	5	248	53·9	3	2	175	37·4	3	
		8. Manjhladih ... E.	25	454	15	336	74·0	...	...	...	...	...	
		9. Sikri ... E.	70½	7,745	43½	5,783	74·6	55	19½	1,785	23·0	14	
		10. Dadikalan .. E.	93	3,316	36	1,890	56·9	82	6	360	10·7	80	
		11. Karharbari 6 as. E.	13	1,398	10	525	37·5	...	...	...	...	...	
		12. Kathawdiri E.	80	1,570	47	1,563	95·6	32	11	462	29·4	...	
		13. Deotan ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Hosair II ... E.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		14. Gawan ... W.	225	5,173	219	4,866	94·0	...	176	3,985	77·0	...	
		Total ...	1,007½	35,027	650½	23,938	66·6	179	244½	7,533	31·4	100	

Statement showing the result of inspections of rent-rolls for the year ending 31st March 1939—concld.

Division.	District.	Estate.	Total number of villages in the estate.	Total number of tenants.	Checked by tahsildars or other subordinate agency.				Checked by managers or other superior agency.				Remarks.
					Number of villages.	Number of tenants.	Percentage of tenants checked to total.	Number of unreported mutations detected.	Number of villages.	Number of tenants.	Percentage of tenants checked to total.	Number of unreported mutations detected.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Chota Nagpur—*concld.*	Ranchi	1. Tupudana ... E.	8	444	4	209	47·1	53	...	...	...	...	
		2. Tamar ... E.	16	2,320	10	1,239	53·4	15	3	536	23·1	9	
		3. Guru ... E.	6	340	4	170	50·0	...	2	160	47·0	18	
		4. Biru ... E.	53	7,095	30	950	13·3	82	2	580	8·1	...	
		5. Bhargaon ... E.	6	383	4	190	49·6	...	...	...	...	...	
		6. Narekela ... E.	3	278	2	199	71·5	5	2	205	73·7	...	
		7. Toto ½ (R.N.T.) E.	14	1,040	7	530	5·0	16	4	390	37·5	...	
		8. Korambe ... E.	32	2,137	20	769	35·9	63	4	251	11·7	3	
		9. Lachragarh ... E.	20	1,917	15	951	49·5	98	3	375	19·5	...	
		10. Nawagarh ... E.	23	2,098	10	703	33·5	62	4	510	24·3	...	
		11. Masmano ... E.	18	1,545	12	651	42·1	22	6	751	48·6	...	
		12. Dowaru ... E.	6	907	5	839	92·5	...	3	303	33·4	...	
		13. Jario ... E.	16	768	12	451	58·7	12	4	731	95·1	28	
		14. Jarakel ... E.	17	2,015	10	805	39·9	66	4	351	17·4	94	
		15. Pandra ... E.	7	581	3	251	43·2	...	...	...	...	...	
		16. Barrang ... E.	19	1,033	11	925	89·5	7	4	731	70·7	3	
		17. Gamharia ... E	21	560	11	349	62·3	5	6	501	89·4	5	
		18. Jonha ... E.	7	635	6	530	83·4	...	3	351	55·2	...	
		19. Burhadih ... E.	13	767	6	531	69·2	...	2	351	45·7	...	
		20. Sonahatu ... E.	12	1,805	10	951	52·6	...	1	301	16·6	...	
		21. Siri ... E.	32	1,138	25	943	82·8	...	...	...	...	...	
		22. Taisar ... E.	16	3,524	12	2,140	60·7	...	...	...	...	...	
		23. Jamndag ... E.	5	625	3	375	60·0	...	...	...	...	...	
		24. Loafatu ... E.	9	1,100	5	621	56·4	...	2	251	23·8	...	
		Total ...	378	35,055	237	16,282	46·4	525	59	7,629	21·7	160	
	Palamau	1. Chainpur ... W	168	7,184	90	3,297	45·9	...	14	1,039	14·9	...	
		2. Bisrampur ... E.	153	1,489	26	361	24·2	...	7	235	15·8	...	
		3. Sonepura ... W.	254	3,530	28	346	9·8	...	...	..	...	...	
		4. Untari ... E.	360	11,854	249	6,777	57·1	...	7	43	·04	...	
		5. Khapro E	11	633	11	833	100·0	...	4	50	6·	...	
		6. Tori ... E.	328	2,539	234	2,095	82·5	...	8	50	1·0	...	
		7. Pokhraha ... E.	3	200	3	200	100·0	...	3	200	100·0	...	
		8. Geruasoti ... E.	12	1,174	12	1,174	100·0	...	5·	40	3·4	...	
		9 Nagarpratappur E.	166	1,465	106	1,448	100·0	...	1	47	3·2	-	
		10. Barahi ... E.	18	545	18	545	100·0	...	9	53	9·5	...	
		11 Nandiha E.	39	332	11	275	82·9	...	...	...	...	...	
		12. Kanchanpur ... E.	19	632	19	632	100·0	...	17	181	28·6	...	
		13. Haidarnagar ... E.	35	497	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Total ...	1,497	32,274	807	18,003	55·8	...	75	1,337	6·0	...	
	Manbhum ...	1. Barabhum ... W.	279	6,432	279	6,433	100·	216	61	2,156	33·9	11	
		2. Katras ... E.	170	4,629	170	4,629	100·	201	65	1,550	33·48	18	
		3. Tundi ... E.	298	4,956	283	4,956	100·	200	155	1,900	38·4	13	
		4. Baghmundi ... E.	126	5,751	126	5,751	100·	313	82	2,040	35·5	21	
		Total ...	871	21,768	858	21,768	100·	930	363	7,646	35·1	63	
	Singhbhum ...	... ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Divisional Total ...	3,763½	125,024	2,552½	79,971	63·9	1,634	741½	24,735	19·7	322	
		Grand Total ...	6,623½	575,453	4,952½	373,180	64·4	3,619	2,285½	220,369	39·5	2,450	

BGP (Bd. of Rev) 74—349—13-7-1940—NMD & others.

No. 4773-R.

GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

RESOLUTION

The 24th August 1940.

READ—

The report of the Board of Revenue on the administration of the Wards, Encumbered, Trust and Attached estates in the province of Bihar for the year 1938-39.

MR. N. F. PECK, C.B.E., I.C.S., was in charge of the Court of Wards except for the period from the 29th April 1938 to the 21st October 1938 during which Mr. E. R. J. R. Cousins, C.I.E., I.C.S., held charge.

2. The number of estates under the management of the Court of Wards at the beginning of the year was 61, to which ten new estates were added during the year, making a total of 71 estates under management at the close of the year.

3. The total demand (including arrears) for Government revenue and cess during the year was Rs. 12,38,099, and the estates actually paid a sum of Rs. 10,17,967 on this account. Of the total outstanding balance of Rs. 2,20,071 the Khagra estate alone was responsible for Rs. 2,07,852. The rent and cess payable to superior landlords decreased from 61.2 per cent to 54.3 per cent of the total demand. The rent and cess payable to the estates during the year was Rs. 99,37,609, but only Rs. 35,00,586 was collected. Excluding the arrear demand, the percentage of collection on the current demand decreased from 86.6 in 1937-38 to 71.2 in the year under report. The standard of 100 per cent collection on current demand was attained only in six estates as compared with seventeen in the previous year. Government observe that in 1938-39 estates under the Court of Wards, like other landlords, were in serious difficulties because of the unsettled conditions produced by the rent reduction operations and the consequent decision of many tenants to withhold the payment of rents. The reluctance to pay rent was particularly marked in the Bettiah Estate.

The outstanding balance of rents and cesses due to all estates again increased during the year, from Rs. 49,04,314 to Rs. 63,17,585, that is, 128.4 per cent on the current demand and 63.5 per cent on the total demand, compared with 96.0 per cent and 51.7 per cent, respectively, in the previous year. In the Bettiah estate the balance increased from Rs. 12,35,018 to Rs. 20,54,607, the latter representing 70.8 per cent of the current demand. Rs. 2,11,000 of the demand was realised soon after the close of the year. In Khagra the balance again showed a rise, from Rs. 11,25,588 to Rs. 12,78,774. The outstanding balance of rent and cess at the close of the year was 70.7 per cent of the total current demand in Tirhut Division, 251.6 per cent in Bhagalpur Division and 180.5 in Chota Nagpur Division. The Khagra estate was primarily responsible for the high percentage in the Bhagalpur Division. As was observed in the previous year's report the wrong system of accounting followed in Chota Nagpur districts is partly responsible for the high percentage of arrear demands in Chota Nagpur.

4. The aggregate debts of the estates under management decreased from Rs. 61,99,286 to Rs. 52,68,193 during the year. The arrear debt

payments of the Khagra estate were exceptionally heavy. Excluding the revenue and cess due to Government and the rent and cess due to superior landlords, the debts of the estate amount to Rs. 13,47,202 compared with the previous year's figure of Rs. 12,40,973. The Collector is negotiating a loan on favourable terms for the purpose of liquidating this debt. Although the estate's financial condition is desperate, Government are reluctant to release the estate until they know the result of the renewed efforts to reduce the debt. Many estates could not afford to allot money for the payment of their debts according to the approved schemes. The deficiency is heaviest in the Dhanwar estate where unsatisfactory collections and heavy legal and social expenses are responsible.

Government view with alarm the deterioration which has taken place in the finances of a large number of Wards and Encumbered estates during the last three years. They recognise that some of the major factors responsible, for example, the rent reduction operations, were beyond the control of the management of the estates. On the other hand, they do not think that the whole of the deterioration should be passively accepted as inevitable. The financial deterioration is pronounced in a relatively small number of estates, and Government consider that the authorities responsible for those estates should be directed to exercise the maximum diligence in the discharge of their duties. The energies of the staff should be vigorously directed towards the restoration of the financial strength of the estates, and any tendency to accept the progressive deterioration of recent years as a matter of course should be discouraged. Government trust that future reports will disclose a better state of affairs.

5. The percentage of the cost of management for all estates during the year rose from 11.8 to 12.2. The standard of 15 per cent was exceeded only in the Chainpur and the Jogiara Trust estates. The percentage of the cost of the management of the Bettiah estate fell from 15.9 to 12.5 during the year, chiefly because the post of the permanent Manager was unfilled throughout the year, and because the Estate Engineer was on deputation with the Public Works Department. In the estates of the Chota Nagpur Division the percentage of cost was 11.3 compared with 6.9 in the preceding year. In the Bhagalpur Division it was the lowest in the Khagra estate, namely, 6.4 as against the average percentage of 8. The audit fees continued to be levied on the basis of the daily rates fixed by the Government of India. No embezzlement or irregularity of a serious nature was detected by the auditors in any of the estates.

6. The expenditure of the estates on contribution to schools, dispensaries and charities fell from Rs. 2,36,754 in the previous year to Rs. 1,73,265 during the year under review. The Bettiah estate alone spent a total sum of Rs. 1,37,221 on these charities against Rs. 1,67,950 in the previous year. The medical institutions of the estate continued to perform valuable services which were widely appreciated. The Bahadurpur estate devoted the income from two villages to the maintenance of the Diamond Jubilee College at Monghyr and the Monghyr Zila School.

7. In the Tirhut Division the Bettiah estate spent Rs. 4,02,890 on works of improvement. The estate's agricultural farms yielded a good profit, and the dairy farm continued to supply the employees of the estate and the general public with fresh milk and *ghee*. Of the total expenditure of Rs. 8,792 in the Bhagalpur Division, Bahadurpur spent Rs. 5,073 and Gidhaur Rs. 2,820. The Khagra estate spent nothing. The expenditure in the other estates was insignificant.

8. The number of mutations made in the rent rolls of all estates formed 3.4 per cent of the total number of tenancies, compared with 2.9 per cent in the previous year and the prescribed standard of 8 per cent. The failure of the management of the estates to reach the required standard of mutation is a subject of criticism every year. Government observe that the Board has again drawn the attention of Collectors to this failing.

9. The number of entries in the rent rolls checked by Tahsildars and other subordinate agencies formed 64.4 per cent of the total number of tenants, and the number checked by Managers and other superior agencies formed 39.5 per cent compared with 50.5 per cent and 34.6 per cent, respectively, in the previous year. The tours of Managers were generally satisfactory in all estates except Bettiah, Khagra, Mrs. Downing's and the Anandpur estates. The fact that the acting Manager of the Bettiah estate was also a Circle Officer and had to stay at headquarters for long periods was responsible for the insufficient touring in the Bettiah estate. As a result of the recommendation of the Corruption Enquiry Committee Government have drawn the attention of the Board to the importance of more adequate and methodical touring by Managers of Wards and Encumbered estates.

10. The relations between the tenants and the managing agency continued to be generally satisfactory, except for the trouble with the Tharus in Bettiah about grazing and the free supply of firewood and building materials, but this dispute was quickly settled.

11. Satisfactory progress was made during the year in the education of the Wards.

12. The thanks of the Governor are due to the Board of Revenue for the standard of efficiency maintained throughout the year in the administration of the Wards Department.

ORDER.—Ordered that the resolution be published in the *Bihar Gazette*, and a copy of it forwarded to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, its attention being drawn particularly to the observations made in paragraphs 4 and 9 thereof.

By order of the Governor of Bihar,

R. A. E. WILLIAMS,

Secretary to Government.

MEMO. NO. 4773-R.

Ranchi, the 24th August 1940.

Copy, with a copy of the report, forwarded to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Bihar, for information and necessary action.

J. D. MISRA,

Assistant Secretary to Government.